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#### Abstract

The Saginaw Bay fish community assessment that began in 1971, continued with annual trawling and gillnetting surveys each September 2012-2017. Abundance and other population indices for Walleye Sander vitreus continued to reflect a recovered population that has not contracted substantially since the liberalization of the recreational fishery in late 2015. Walleye reproductive success remained strong but resulting recruitment has become more variable which is consistent with density dependent changes in stock-recruitment relationships. Yellow Perch Perca flavescens remained at low abundance but exhibited good reproductive success and appear limited by a high total annual mortality rate between age 0 and age 1. Walleye diet continues to reflect considerable predation on Yellow Perch, which is among the factors thought to be contributing to the suppression of that species. Yellow Perch that did survive past age 1 grew fast and exhibited early onset of maturity, especially in females. Overall prey fish indices remained low; Mimic Shiners Notropis volucellus have increased and are now a prominent component of the trawl catch, while the invasive Round Goby Neogobius melanostomus remained abundant in Saginaw Bay but are probably underrepresented by trawling. Alewives Alosa pseudoharengus remained absent from Saginaw Bay and native Cisco Coregonus artedi have not recovered. Another native species, the state threatened Lake Sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens, continued to remain absent from the survey catch.
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## INTRODUCTION

Lake Huron's Saginaw Bay sustains substantial recreational, commercial, and bait-harvest fisheries that annually yield as many as 22 million fish (Fielder et al. 2014). The fisheries reflect the bay's coolwater habitat with recreational fishing emphasis on Walleye and Yellow Perch and commercial fishing emphasis on Yellow Perch and Lake Whitefish (See Appendix A for a list of common and scientific names of fishes and other aquatic organisms mentioned in this report). Year-round recreational fishing effort averaged more than 800,000 angler hours between 2012 and 2017. There are 21 state-issued commercial fishing licenses in Saginaw Bay with about 9 or 10 actively fishing in most recent years (Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR), Fisheries Division unpublished data).

Like the rest of Lake Huron, Saginaw Bay has experienced ongoing colonization by invasive species in recent decades including Dreissenid mussels in the early 1990s and Round Goby by the mid-1990s (Ricciardi and MacIsaac 2000). Subsequently, the fish community of Lake Huron underwent an enormous paradigm shift in 2003-2004 with the collapse of invasive Alewife stocks (Riley et al. 2008) and the decline of the recreationally-important Chinook Salmon fishery (Johnson and Gondor 2013). The entire demersal and pelagic fish community underwent a restructuring with shifts of production from pelagic fishes to more benthic and littoral production (Hecky et al. 2004; Riley and Roseman 2013).

The new fish community of Lake Huron resulted in substantial gains in reproductive success for some native species including Lake Trout and Walleye and was principally attributed to release from the deleterious effects of Alewives (Fielder et al. 2007; Riley et al. 2007; He et al. 2013). In response, Walleye fingerling stocking in Saginaw Bay was suspended in 2006 and recovery goals and objectives that were previously established for the Saginaw Bay stock of Walleyes (Fielder and Baker 2004) were formally met in 2009 (Fielder and Thomas 2014). Fielder and Baker (2019) chronicled the history of fisheries management in Saginaw Bay leading to the recovery of the Walleye stock. Yellow Perch also exhibited increases in reproductive success but experienced high mortality of pre-recruits resulting in continued declines in abundance and fishery yields.

Stock assessment modeling of the Saginaw Bay Walleye stock indicated that the population of age 2+ peaked in 2007 at four million fish (Fielder and Bence 2014). Using a stochastic management simulation model (Fielder et al. 2016) to gauge management options, fishery managers sought to adapt harvest policies from the statewide default suite of regulations to tailored regulations specific to the Saginaw Bay Walleye population to more fully use the recovered population within limits of sustainability. This resulted in liberalization of the daily possession limit in the recreational fishery from five to eight Walleyes and a reduction in the minimum length limit from 381 mm total length to 330 mm . The liberalization of the recreational Walleye harvest policy was also intended to help address the high pre-recruit mortality of Yellow Perch by reducing predation. More conservative Yellow Perch harvest policies, such as a reduction in the recreational possession limit from 50 fish/day to 25 fish/day, and the retirement of one inner bay small mesh trap-net license in the commercial fishery, were also implemented to increase the abundance of adult Yellow Perch. This suite of management changes was implemented in October of 2015 and continued through the remainder of the reporting period for this report (2017).

The objectives of this analysis are to 1) document trends in abundance, recruitment, size and age structure, condition, and growth rates for many of the Saginaw Bay fish stocks; 2) evaluate the presence of invading species; 3) quantify diet patterns for select species; and 4) archive data and analysis for future use, and thereby provide a basis for evaluating progress towards existing management goals and the development of new ones.

The trawling portion of the annual netting survey has been in place since 1971 and Weber (1985) summarized results through 1984. Haas and Schaeffer (1992) updated the trawling results through
1989. The gillnetting portion of the survey was added in 1989 and Fielder et al. (2000) summarized both trawling and gillnetting results through 1997. Fielder and Thomas (2006) further summarized results through 2004 and Fielder and Thomas (2014) for results through 2011.

## STUDY AREA

Saginaw Bay lies entirely in Michigan's waters of Lake Huron and spans a surface area of 2,960 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$. The inner bay is shallow, averaging 4.6 m in depth, while the outer bay depth averages 14.6 m . The inner and outer bays are defined by a line between Point Au Gres and Sand Point (Figure 1). Land use in the watershed is a mixture of industry and agriculture, but there are also large tracts of forested area (Johnson et al. 1997). There are several tributary systems to Saginaw Bay, the largest being the Saginaw River collection of tributaries. Water in Saginaw Bay loosely circulates in a counterclockwise direction (Beeton et al. 1967; Danek and Saylor 1977) and the flushing rate is approximately once every 186 days (Beeton et al. 1967; Keller et al. 1987). The inner portion of Saginaw Bay is generally regarded as eutrophic with productivity declining towards the outer bay region. Saginaw Bay's limnology was further described by Beeton et al. (1967), Johengen et al. (2000) and the bay's water chemistry by Smith et al. (1977).

## METHODS

## Trawling

Bottom trawls have proven an effective gear for sampling prey species and all ages of Yellow Perch in Saginaw Bay since the first trawl survey was completed in 1971. Since the 1980s, trawling locations in the inner bay have been based on a 2 minute latitude x 2.8 minute longitude grid system. Fish samples were collected during daylight hours between September 6th and 25th of each year by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) Research Vessel (R/V) Channel Cat from four fixed index grids in the inner bay: Au Gres (north quadrant), Pinconning (west quadrant), North Island (east quadrant), and Coreyon Reef (south quadrant) (Figure 1). The Au Gres index grid was located near the city of Au Gres, and conditions there more closely resemble those of the less eutrophic outer bay. The Pinconning index grid was located at a bottom depression known locally as the "Black Hole." This grid, closest to the mouth of the Saginaw River, has organic sediments dominated by pollution tolerant benthic macroinvertebrates (Nalepa et al. 2003; Schneider et al. 1969). The North Island index grid was located off Wildfowl Bay, a shallow sub-bay that serves as a nursery area for many fish species. The Coreyon Reef index grid is located on a sandy flat that was once an important spawning reef. Prior to 2016, three replicate trawl tows were conducted at each of the fixed stations and three more replicates at an additional randomly selected grid in each quadrant for a total of 24 tows each year. Beginning in 2016, six separate grids per quadrant were trawled with one of those at each of the index grids. The other five grids were randomly selected within each quadrant. This resulted in same 24 trawl tows each year but better spatial representation and avoidance of unintended cluster designs which in turn led to improvements to analytical methods.

The two-seam otter trawl used for all tows had a 10.66 m headrope with 4.6 m wings and 18.9 m overall length and was constructed of 76,38 , and 32 mm graded stretched-measure mesh from gape to cod end, with a 9 mm stretched-mesh liner in the cod end. The net was towed along the bottom for 10 minutes by a single warp and 45.7 m bridle at a speed of approximately 2 knots. Based on trawl mensuration, the average gape width and height dimensions with this gear configuration were 7 mx 1 m (MDNR unpublished data). Water temperature and Secchi disk transparency were recorded at each
grid and total weight and number of each fish species collected in each trawl tow were recorded. Large catches ( $>10 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) of forage fish were sometimes subsampled by selecting $25 \%$ to $40 \%$ of the total catch. Total length in millimeters was recorded for up to 150 individuals of each forage species at each index grid, including age- 0 Yellow Perch. All age- 1 and older Walleye and Yellow Perch captured in the trawl were weighed to the nearest 0.01 kg and measured; most age-1 and older Walleye were also sacrificed and examined for stomach contents on board the survey vessel immediately after capture. The stomach was removed immediately, after which prey fish in the stomach contents were identified to species, if possible, and counted. Prey fish that were unidentifiable were classified as unidentifiable fish remains and counted.

Scale or dorsal spine samples for age and growth analysis were taken from a maximum of 25 age- 1 and older Yellow Perch and 10 age- 1 and older Walleye per 25 mm size group. For each year total catch and catch per unit effort (CPUE, number of fish per 10-minute tow) of Yellow Perch was estimated by age and sex. Estimates were weighted to account for bias inherent in stratified random subsampling for age estimation following the procedure outlined by Schneider (2000). The mean catch by age was used to estimate survival for ages 1-6 with a standard catch curve analysis (Miranda and Bettoli 2007). Overall and age-specific (age 1-6) sex ratios were determined by dividing the male catch by the female catch for each year and denoted as ' $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ '.

A forage index value was calculated for each year by summing the mean CPUE (kilograms of fish per 10 -minute tow) for the most common forage species, including Alewife, Emerald Shiner, Gizzard Shad, Mimic Shiner, Rainbow Smelt, Round Goby, Spottail Shiner, Trout-Perch, age-0 White Perch, and age-0 Yellow Perch. Some summaries of trawling data are supplemented with results from earlier years to provide context and aid interpretation. Some analyses were also delineated by the years after the collapse of Alewives (2003-2017) to allow for comparisons before and after this event.

## Gillnetting

Gillnetting of Walleyes was based on the work of Isbell and Rawson (1989), who showed that gillnet catch could be effectively used as a measure of abundance and recruitment for Walleye and other species. Gill-net sampling was performed at eight fixed stations from the MDNR R/V Chinook from 1989 until 2016 and then by the R/V Tanner concurrent with the trawling in early September of each year. Gill nets were 335 m long by 2 m deep, constructed of multifilament twine with 30.5 m panels of $38,51,57,64,70,76,83,89,102,114$, and 127 mm stretch nylon mesh; the 38 mm mesh was added in 1993. Two overnight, bottom net sets were made in depths greater than 3 m at each sample site and effort was divided between the inner and outer bay (Figure 1). All fish caught were measured for total length in mm. Walleyes, Northern Pike, Yellow Perch, and Smallmouth Bass were also weighed in grams with sex and maturity scored upon internal examination of gonads according to the criteria of Goede and Barton (1990). Dorsal spines or fin rays were collected for age interpretation from these same species. Yellow Perch were subsampled for these metrics by including specimens caught from every other net lift. Walleye diet was noted by examining the stomach contents and reported as frequency of occurrence, which is the percentage of fish with non-empty stomachs that contained at least one of a selected food item (Windell and Bowen 1978).

Gill-net CPUE was calculated as the number of each species per 335 m net lift. Condition was examined by calculating relative weight for Walleye (Murphy et al. 1990) and Yellow Perch (Willis et al. 1991) and expressed by stock density length indices (Gabelhouse 1984). Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD), an index of the size structure of the population, were also determined according to the size designations of Anderson and Gutreuter (1983) for Walleye and Anderson and Neumann (1996) for Yellow Perch. Growth rate was indexed as mean length-at-age at capture and compared to the Michigan average for the fall season as reported by Schneider (2000).

To examine trends and noteworthy changes, analyses of key common metrics from this gill-net survey from 2012-2017 were used to make comparisons with data from 1989-2011 surveys.

## Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences in trawl and gill-net catch rates among years and time periods. Analysis of variance was also used to test for differences in Yellow Perch mean length-at-age among years for trawl data and for Walleye and Yellow Perch mean length-at-age from gill-net data. Trawl and gill-net catch rates (CPUE) often have a lognormal distribution, so distributions of CPUE values were graphically examined (Stewart-Oaten 1995). Trawl and gill-net data were examined for normality using Lilliefors Test and for homogeneity of variance using Bartlett's or Levene's Test. When substantial deviations from normality were observed, nonparametric KruskalWallis (KW) procedures with post hoc multiple comparisons were used to test for statistical differences in mean CPUE among and between years or time periods for both gear types. Statistical differences between individual means of some metrics of interest were determined by a $t$-test when departures from normality were not observed or by a Mann-Whitney U (MW-U) test otherwise. Some means are reported with two standard errors of the mean (SEs) which approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals to assist in visually examining the summarized data for significant differences. For some metrics of interest, true $95 \%$ confidence intervals are reported.

Calculations of means and SEs for trawling catch rate data were conducted using equations for simple random sampling. The assumptions of such a sample design are met well for the trawl design but not for the paired sets in the gill-net portion of the survey, which constitutes a single stage cluster design. While the means from a cluster design are still unbiased and mathematically equivalent with those from simple random sampling, the SEs are not. Standard errors of the mean for gillnetting CPUE are calculated using the standard single stage cluster design (Thompson 2002). Aside from the difference in sampling design between the two gears, both survey elements strive for broad spatial coverage across the expanse of the bay via fixed site selection for the gill-net survey and the use of quadrants for the trawl survey. This approach likely magnifies catch differences, especially between the inner and outer bay environments. Consequently, the magnitude of variability of the data is likely exaggerated by the design, and resulting expression of variability such as SEs and confidence intervals can be regarded in this analysis as conservative. Biological data from the gill-net catch is not believed to be influenced by clustering as there is little or no spatial component for such metrics within the Saginaw Bay fish populations and means and SEs are based on the simple random sampling formulations of pooled data.

Total annual mortality rates for Walleye and Yellow Perch were calculated using two methods with numbers by ages for fish collected with gill nets. The point-in-time catch curve method assumes equal annual recruitment. However, because this is unlikely and because time series of numbers at age data were available, we also estimated total annual mortality using the "cohort" method (Ricker 1975; Miranda and Bettoli 2007). The cohort method assumes equal vulnerability of ages to the gear over time. In each case, the actual computation was based on the Robson-Chapman method of catch curve estimation of mortality (Hilborn and Walters 1992; Miranda and Bettoli 2007). The point-intime approach to total annual mortality estimation represents the time period reflecting the ages of the fish in the collection (multiple year classes). The cohort method reflects the time period spanned by a single year class over its longevity. While similar, the two methods take a different approach to total annual mortality estimation. Given the importance of this metric, it is estimated and reported both ways allowing for a more in-depth evaluation of these rates.

Simple linear regression analysis was used to develop length/weight relationships for some species based on natural log transformed data and linear regression. A Von Bertalanffy length-at-age model was fit for Walleye and Yellow Perch using individual data rather than means, with model parameters calculated according to Isely and Grabowski (2007). Maturation of male and female Walleyes was defined as age at $50 \%$ maturity from gill-net data and was derived by solving for age at a maturity score
of $50 \%$ between immature and mature by applying and assuming a linear relationship in maturity and age between the two age groups that bracketed the $50 \%$ maturity threshold. All statistical tests for this study were performed according to Sokal and Rohlf (1981) and conducted at a significance level of $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ based on two-tailed hypothesis testing unless otherwise stated. SPSS computer software or R statistical software were used for statistical analyses (R Core Team 2013; SPSS 2010).

## RESULTS

## Trawling

The trawling survey completed a total of 144 trawl tows in inner Saginaw Bay from 2012-2017. During this time period mean water temperatures at trawling sites varied between $12.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $22.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, exceeding the long-term mean of $17.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in five of the last six years of the survey and continuing the trend of warmer mean water temperatures during the fall trawl survey since the early 1990s (Figure 2). Mean fall Secchi depth recorded at trawling sites varied between 1.40 m and 2.24 m from 2012-2017, continuing an increasing trend observed since 2000 (Figure 3).

## SPECIES COMPOSITION AND CATCH RATES

During 2012-2017 a total of 203,390 fish were caught in the fall trawl survey. Species composition varied considerably among years (Table 1, Appendix B); the most common species captured were Mimic Shiner, Trout-perch, Round Goby, Yellow Perch, and White Perch, which accounted for at least $77 \%$ of the total catch each year. Round Goby, an invasive species which first appeared in survey trawls in 1999, made up an average of $14 \%$ of the total catch from 2012-2017.

Several notable patterns or occurrences that have been observed in previous reports continued in the most recent survey period. Alewife CPUE collapsed after 2003 and has been at or near zero since 2005. Mean Alewife CPUE has varied among time periods (KW test, $H=24.94, \mathrm{df}=4, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and the 2012-2017 period CPUE was significantly less than the 1980s (KW post hoc test, $H=21.12, \mathrm{P}=0.013$ ) and 1990s (KW post hoc test, $H=21.92, \mathrm{P}=0.008$ ) mean CPUE by over three orders of magnitude. Since 2003, Walleye CPUE in the trawl survey has been higher than any previous survey period, due to strong reproductive success as indicated by age-0 catch rates (Table 2). Yellow Perch age-0 CPUEs have generally been higher than from 1992-2002 (Table 3). Forage index values have varied among time periods ( KW test, $H=18.26, \mathrm{df}=4, \mathrm{P}=0.001$ ) and the 2012-2017 forage index values were lower than during the 2000s ( KW post hoc test, $H=-23.33, \mathrm{P}=0.006$ ) but similar to the 70 s ( KW post hoc test, $H=-2.44, \mathrm{P}=1.000$ ), 80 s ( KW post hoc test, $H=-14.43, \mathrm{P}=0.333$ ), and 90 s ( KW post hoc test, $H=-15.53$, $\mathrm{P}=0.220$; Table 1, Figure 4). Cisco, historically abundant, remained absent from the trawl survey catch.

## CPUE, SURVIVAL, SEX RATIO, AND GROWTH OF YELLOW PERCH

Mean age-specific CPUEs for ages 1 and older Yellow Perch have been low since the Alewife collapse in 2003 but increased for ages 2-4 in 2017 (Table 4). Age-0 CPUE of Yellow Perch has been greatest since the Alewife collapse, but low survival has resulted in similar or lower age-1 Yellow Perch CPUE than other time periods. Catch curve analysis of trawl samples for Yellow Perch ages 1-6 produced an estimate of total annual mortality of $71 \%$ since the Alewife collapse in 2003, compared to $47 \%$ before. The male to female sex ratio for Yellow Perch across ages $1-6$ has been near 1.0 since the Alewife collapse. Age-specific sex ratios during the post-Alewife time period were nearly even for age 1 (M/F: 1.03), then skewed towards females for ages 2 and 3, and then heavily skewed towards males for ages 4,5 , and 6 (Table 5).

Based on mean length-at-age at time of capture, female Yellow Perch grew faster than male Yellow Perch in Saginaw Bay (Table 6). On average, for the 2012-2017 period males reached a length of 200 mm by age 3 while females reached the same length by age 2. Saginaw Bay Yellow Perch growth was well above statewide average growth rates for ages 1-5. Saginaw Bay Yellow Perch mean length-at-age has generally maintained a trend of faster growth since the mid-1990s (Figure 5), particularly for ages 2, 3, and 4. However, during the 2012-2017 period growth has remained relatively consistent or even declined, particularly for ages 1,2 , and 3 .

## DIET OF WALLEYE

Prior to 2003, Alewives were consistently the most frequently occurring prey item in non-empty Walleye stomachs (Table 7). However, from 2003-2005 Yellow Perch were found in a higher proportion than any other taxa. Since 2005, only one Walleye stomach examined contained an identifiable Alewife. White perch were the most frequently occurring prey item in 2006. From 2007-2017, Gizzard Shad and Yellow Perch have been the primary prey items found in the stomachs of Walleye collected in the trawl survey. The diversity of prey items was highest in 2008 and 2014, with nine species identified from Walleye stomachs in both years. Round Gobies first appeared in Walleye diets in 2000 and continued to account for a small percentage of the diet in the most recent years.

## Gillnetting

The gill-net portion of the fish community survey collected a total of 15,724 specimens spanning 30 different species between 2012 and 2017, for an average of about 2,600 fish per year. The most abundant species were: Yellow Perch, Walleye, Gizzard Shad, and White Sucker (Table 8). Notably absent from the gill-net collections were Cisco and Lake Sturgeon, both of which were historically abundant (Baldwin et al. 2009) and are now designated as state threatened species (Latta 2005). Catch rates for commonly encountered species were mostly similar within the current time period. For example, CPUE of Walleye ranged from a mean of 21.3 fish/net lift (2015) to a high of 49.5 fish/net lift (2017) but was not significantly different among those years ( KW test, $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}=0.133$ ). Walleye catch rates continued to increase compared to earlier reporting periods. While there was no significant difference for the Walleye gill-net catch rate between the current reporting period (2012-2017) and the last period (2005-2011; MW-U P=0.176), there was a significant difference between mean CPUE during the post recovery period of 2009-2017 ( 33.5 fish/net lift) and the earlier period of 1993-2008 (17.1 fish/net lift; MW-U P<0.001).

Yellow Perch CPUE did not vary significantly among the survey years (KW test, $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}=0.535$ ), or between the current reporting period (2012-2017) and the last (2005-2011) (MW-U $\mathrm{P}=0.701$ ). There was, no significant difference in Yellow Perch CPUE between the pre-Walleye recovery period of 1993-2008 (mean 43.0 fish/net lift) and the post Walleye recovery period (since 2009; mean 50.1 fish/ net lift) (MW-U P=0.054). Similarly, there was no significant difference in CPUE of White Sucker (KW test $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}=0.234$ ) or Channel Catfish ( KW test $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}=0.689$ ), there was, however for Gizzard Shad ( KW test $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Gizzard shad ranged from a mean high of 85 fish/net lift in 2012 to a low of 2.5 fish/net lift in 2015 (Table 8). Mean gill-net catch rate of yearling Walleye, as one expression of year class strength, varied over the time series (Figure 6) and significantly during the reporting period ( KW test $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) from a mean high of 7.7 fish/net lift in 2017 to a mean low of 0.6 fish/net lift in 2015.

The Walleye age distribution broadened across the reporting period from a low of eight year classes represented in 2014 to a high of 16 in 2017 (Table 9). Walleye mean age varied significantly (ANOVA, $\mathrm{df}=1,489, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), exhibiting an increasing trend until 2017. Walleye diets continued to be dominated by Yellow Perch and Gizzard Shad, a trend that has been observed since 2003 (Table 10). Round Gobies were the third most common diet item in most years. Alewives were a common diet item in the study time series until 2004 when they dropped from the frequency of occurrence except for a small
proportion in 2013. Conversely, Yellow Perch were an infrequent dietary item until 2003 and common after that. Gizzard Shad have figured prominently in Walleye diets during many years throughout the time series (Table 10) and were nearly always young of the year.

Walleye growth rate, as indicated by mean length-at-age (at capture), varied little over the reporting period (Table 11). The five year mean for the reporting period was 412 mm , which was significantly less (t-test, $\mathrm{df}=883, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) than the maximum management target of 425 mm (Fielder and Baker 2004). However, the 486 mm mean length of age-3 Walleyes during the 1989-2008 pre-recovery period was significantly larger than the 404 mm age- 3 Walleye mean length-at-age observed during the post recovery period of 2009-2017 (ANOVA, $\mathrm{df}=1692, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Walleye age 3 mean length an important indicator of recovery (Fielder and Baker 2004) has trended below the recovery threshold since 2004 (Figure 7). Walleye condition, as indicated by relative weight, varied significantly during the reporting period from a low of $74 \%$ in 2014 to a high of $86 \%$ in 2016 for all sizes combined (Table 12; KW test, $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Walleye population size structure as denoted by proportional stock density exceeded the recommended PSD range of $30-60 \%$ between 2013-2016, suggesting a paucity of stock to quality sized ( $250-380 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) Walleyes relative to those greater than quality-sized fish ( 380 mm ; Table 13) . Similarly, there was relatively low abundance of Preferred ( 510 mm ) and Memorable ( 630 mm ) sized Walleyes when compared to the MDNR recommended values for 'quality' Walleye fisheries in Michigan (Table 13; MDNR unpublished data). Age at $50 \%$ maturity was 2.89 for male Walleye and 3.50 for females (Table 14), which is greater than the 2005-2011 reporting period values of 2.50 and 2.96 , respectively.

Total annual mortality rate (A) as indicated by point-in-time catch curves varied significantly during the reporting period from a low of $37 \%$ in 2017 to a high of $62 \%$ in 2012 (Table 15). The mean point-in-time derived total annual mortality rate was $50 \%$ for the reporting period. When examined by cohort for years approximately spanning the reporting period, total annual mortality rate was similar and averaged $47 \%$ (Table 16).

The age distribution of Yellow Perch continues to be skewed towards younger individuals. Yellow Perch ages included as many as eight year classes in most years but were principally comprised of ages 1-3 (Table 17). The mean age of Yellow Perch collected by gill net ranged from a low of 1.6 years in 2013 and 2017 to a high of 2.0 years in 2012. The average age for Yellow Perch across the reporting period was just 1.8 years, which differed significantly from the mean age of 1.6 years for the 2005-2011 reporting period (t-test, $\mathrm{df}=5,240, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Yellow Perch mean length-at-age varied just 5 mm from the state average for September capture (Table 11). This is a substantial decrease in growth rate compared to the previous reporting period growth index which averaged 49 mm . The condition of Yellow Perch for the reporting period, as indicated by relative weight, ranged from a low of $89 \%$ in 2013 to a high of $99 \%$ in 2015 (Table 12). The overall mean for the time period was $95 \%$ which was significantly greater than the overall mean of $90 \%$ for the previous reporting period ( t -test, $\mathrm{df}=5,175, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). The PSD of Yellow Perch was within recommended ranges for all years except 2012 (Table 13).

The total annual mortality of Yellow Perch from point-in-time catch curves averaged $61 \%$ for the reporting period and ranged from a low of $53 \%$ in 2014 to a high of $73 \%$ in 2012 (Table 15). This was similar to the mean value for the previous reporting period at $66 \%$. The $56 \%$ total annual mortality estimate based on the cohort method was slightly lower for the reporting period (Table 16). Length/ weight regression equations and length/age Von Bertalanffy equations for Walleye and Yellow Perch are presented in Appendix C.

## DISCUSSION

## Walleye

The Saginaw Bay Walleye population continued to attain recovery targets during the 2012-2017 reporting period. Since 2017 the Walleye population in Saginaw Bay has been at recovery targets for nearly a decade and stocking was suspended in 2006 so the present population is principally wild. Abundance of the Walleye population during the post-recovery period is evident in the gill-net CPUE trends (Table 9). Despite the liberalization of the recreational fishery harvest regulations, by the end of this reporting period the relative abundance of Walleye was at its greatest value since 1994. This is in contrast to trends in population size as estimated by statistical catch at age (SCAA) models (see Fielder and Bence 2014) that suggest the overall Saginaw Bay stock of Walleyes has contracted from a high of 3.7 million age 2 and older fish in 2007 to 2.1 million age 2 and older fish in 2016, a $42 \%$ reduction.

A telemetry study of Saginaw Bay Walleyes (Hayden et al. 2014) documented as much as $37 \%$ of adult fish make a migration to the open waters of Lake Huron from approximately June through October. It is likely that some of the variability observed in the gill-net survey CPUE is reflective of not just abundance trends but also migration patterns of returning Walleyes that time of year. Slightly earlier or later migration of returning Walleyes may increase or decrease gill-net CPUE. The increasing water temperatures in the Saginaw Bay (Figure 2) may be affecting return dates for Walleyes that emigrated from Saginaw Bay earlier in the year. This in turn may account for the differences between gill-net survey CPUE trends and those indicated by the SCAA model. While the SCAA model includes the gill-net CPUE as one data source, it also fits the estimates to a greater variety of data, including harvest levels from various fisheries.

If the Walleye population is contracting in Saginaw Bay, it may be a reflection of saturation of carrying capacity based on available prey and adult habitat. A similar phenomenon was observed in Lake Erie when its Walleye population first recovered, resulting in a resurgence in Walleye abundance and then a contraction to a more intermediate level (Drouin and Soper 2017). Trends in recovery of native species may mirror that observed for invasions of nonnatives that often expand exponentially and then decline to some steady state. A changing stock-recruitment relationship with year class production not being as great in recent years as during the initial recovery appears to be driving this for Saginaw Bay.

While recruitment may be lower, reproductive success of Walleye in Saginaw Bay, as evidenced by the trends in fall age-0 trawl CPUE, has been relatively stable in recent years (Table 2). Fielder and Thomas (2014) reported that they believed year class strength was no longer well predicted by abundance of age- 0 Walleyes in the fall, a departure from that reported for earlier years by Ivan et al. (2011). The decoupling of year class strength and fall age- 0 abundance appears to be continuing during this reporting period. For example, the 2016 trawling catch rate of age-0 Walleyes was the lowest for the reporting period but was the highest as yearlings in the 2017 gill-net catch. Juvenile Walleye abundance is not believed to be affected by trends in migration as understood for older Walleyes. Generally wild Walleye recruitment remains higher when compared to the pre-recovery period (before 2003) but may vary more now because the population is at a higher density and the capacity of the prey base and habitat. We believe that Walleye reproductive success will remain strong providing the current fish community assemblage remains the same and Alewives remain scarce.

It is not immediately known what the relative contributions of different tributaries are to Saginaw Bay's Walleye reproduction and subsequent recruitment. Fielder (2002) documented various sources of Walleye recruitment during the pre-recovery period, where reproduction was almost entirely tributary based with evidence of the Tittabawassee River being one of the largest producers. It is believed that before its collapse in the mid-twentieth century the historic Walleye population in the bay was also sustained by contributions from offshore rock reef spawning (Schneider and Leach 1977; Schneider
and Leach 1978). Similar to how tributary-spawning Walleyes in Saginaw Bay home back to their natal spawning grounds for reproduction purposes (Hayden et al. 2017) the propensity for some Walleyes to spawn on offshore reefs is a heritable trait (Jennings et al. 1996) and there is evidence that such an endemic strain still persists in the bay (Billington et al. 1998; Kalejs 2017). Fielder and Baker (2004) called for investments in offshore rock reef habitat restoration in the inner portion of Saginaw Bay as one strategy to help diversify sources of Walleye reproduction and infuse more resiliency in the population. A grant to fund two experimental reefs was awarded from the United States Environmental Protection Agency to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality in 2017, with plans for construction in 2019. This survey series will be one way to evaluate such habitat improvements in the years to come.

The considerable abundance of Walleyes in Saginaw Bay has provided for an expanded recreational fishery. Angler harvest rate of Walleyes during the April-October open water fishery has increased by 561\% from 1994-2005 to 2007-2017 (MDNR unpublished data). The harvest rate by anglers targeting Walleyes has averaged an impressive 0.48 Walleyes/hour since 2006, which is higher than the targeted harvest rate of 0.45 fish/hour for Lake Erie Walleyes during the same period (Walleye Task Group 2018). The size structure of the population, however, has declined with no trophy size Walleyes encountered in the survey catch and infrequent collections of those in the preferred size range. One key definition of recovery was defined on growth rates declining to a target level, and Fielder and Baker (2004) used growth rates as a surrogate for indicating when Walleye abundance came to capacity of Saginaw Bay. Growth has predictably slowed for Walleye during the post recovery period; the growth rate of age-3 Walleyes has been at or below the target of $110 \%$ of the state average rate during the reporting period (Figure 7). Part of the paucity of larger Walleyes in the population may also be a function of overall relative size structure in that smaller fish are so abundant they are expected to make up a larger proportion of the harvest. Fishery managers have yet to decide if population size structure and the size of Walleyes harvested are criteria for management changes. It was recognized that if the liberalization of the recreational Walleye harvest regulations was successful in reducing the density of Walleyes, growth rates may increase.

While the Walleye population of Saginaw Bay has experienced considerable change since attaining recovery, the total annual mortality rate has remained relatively stable at about $50 \%$ (Tables 15 and 16). This value is intermediate to the $57 \%$ total annual mortality estimated by tag return analysis (Fielder 2014) in 2016 and the $34 \%$ total annual mortality estimated by the SCAA model for 2012-2016. The SCAA value may be lower because it was limited to age-4 and older Walleyes and better reflects the main basin migrant portion of the population. Regardless, these values are regarded as sustainable for a healthy Walleye population. The objective of the 2015 liberalization of the recreational harvest regulations was to increase fishing mortality by an additional $50 \%$. It is not immediately evident from these estimates alone if mortality is increasing and will likely require recreational specific fishing mortality (F) estimates from SCAA to gauge. The increase in the number of year classes detected by the 2017 gill-net survey suggests greater longevity which might be consistent with lower mortality rates.

## Yellow Perch

Yellow Perch abundance remains low in Saginaw Bay, continuing a trend since the early 1990s. The low abundance from 1990 to 2002 was characterized by poor reproductive success and was attributed to the effects of invasive Dreissenid mussels and perhaps by the effects of Alewives (Fielder and Thomas 2014). Like Walleye, reproductive success of Yellow Perch has increased greatly since Alewives disappeared from the fish community beginning in 2003, as evidenced by the age- 0 trawl CPUE (Table 4). Despite the high abundance of age-0 Yellow Perch in the fall trawl series, they are relatively scarce the following year as age- 1 fish. The mortality rate between age 0 and age 1 averaged $76 \%$ over the reporting period and was as great as $94 \%$ from 2012-2013. Yellow Perch fisheries have declined in response, with the recreational year-round harvest in 2017 at 221,082 fish, a $96 \%$ decline from their high in 1987; and the commercial yield averaging $16,616 \mathrm{~kg}$ since 2003 , an $82 \%$ decline
from the 1972-1984 average (MDNR unpublished data). While not absent, these fisheries are virtually collapsed when compared to their historical standards.

Fielder and Thomas (2014) explored a variety of reasons for the poor survival of Yellow Perch, especially juveniles, but the prevalence of young individuals in the diet of predators elevates predation to the top of the list of likely factors. Yellow Perch have figured prominently in the diets of Saginaw Bay Walleye collected by MDNR trawls and gill nets since 2003 while they did not prior to then (Tables 7 and 10), and similar trends have been reported by other authors (Roseman et al. 2014). DeBruyne et al. (2017) described Double Crested Cormorant diet in Saginaw Bay and estimated that they consumed $17 \%$ as much Yellow Perch as the resident Walleye population. Many predators are likely using juvenile Yellow Perch as a forage fish in the bay, especially over winter and the following spring until the next year's forage fish production is complete. Predation on Yellow Perch is not limited to juveniles since total annual mortality was estimated across all ages encountered as high as $71 \%$ (from trawl estimated mortality rates) although that also includes any fishing mortality imposed on the older ages. The estimated total annual mortality rate of fish collected in gill nets was somewhat lower, but still higher than what is considered sustainable in the absence of regular recruitment.

Clearly the cause of low Yellow Perch abundance is poor survival and not an issue of reproductive success. The question vexing fishery managers is why Yellow Perch are so heavily preyed upon now, when historic commercial landing records show they previously existed at high abundance in the presence of high Walleye density (Baldwin et al. 2009). We continue to hypothesize that other species such as Cisco have previously served as a buffer for predation on Yellow Perch. Diet data since the late 1980s in this time series indicates that the diet was previously dominated by clupeids (Alewives and Gizzard Shad). Adult Cisco were historically very abundant in Saginaw Bay (Baldwin et al. 2009), at least at spawning time, and their progeny likely remained in the bay for some time until maturing, generating a predation buffer on Yellow Perch. Since Alewives have disappeared from most of Lake Huron, Cisco have not recovered and the absence of an abundant pelagic planktivore from the open water reaches of Lake Huron that used the bay as nursery grounds may represent a broken linkage to Saginaw Bay's fish community. This hypothesis is part of the basis for a new Cisco reintroduction stocking study being implemented in 2018 by the Lake Huron Committee of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission (GLFC) with the aim of reestablishing natural reproduction of Cisco. Fishery managers hope this effort, in combination with the liberalized harvest of Walleye, will collectively help promote better Yellow Perch survival. The MDNR also prescribed and implemented Cormorant management to reduce that form of predation but authority was revoked by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 2016 as the result of litigation.

Meanwhile, the Yellow Perch population of Saginaw Bay shows adaption to the high mortality rate. Yellow Perch populations will typically exhibit correlation between growth and mortality with high mortality resulting in faster growth for those individuals that do survive (Feiner et al. 2017). Such has been the case with Saginaw Bay since at least the mid-1990s. This in turn can result in early onset of sexual maturity, especially in females. Length at $50 \%$ mature in female Saginaw Bay Yellow Perch has dropped as low as 144 mm in recent years (Feiner et al. 2017). Yellow Perch have been documented to adjust their maturation schedule to mortality rates, likely as a plastic adaption in life history to ensure the ability to spawn at least once before dying (Feiner et al. 2015). Accelerated growth may have consequences for subsequent fishing mortality in that faster growing members of the population may recruit more quickly to fisheries and be susceptible to harvest sooner than their slower growing counterparts. This is evident in Saginaw Bay where sex ratios of age-3 and older Yellow Perch are skewed to favor males over females and females grow faster and larger than males (Tables 5 and 6).

## Prey Fish Base

A declining trend in forage fish abundance was among the reasons for fishery managers' decision to liberalize recreational Walleye harvest regulations in 2015. The 2012-2017 mean index value from the
trawl series (Table 3, Figure 4) was the lowest measured since the 1980s; the steepest decline in forage abundance has been since 2003, which is consistent with the period of improved Walleye reproductive success and attainment of recovery targets. It seems that Walleye predation has had consequences for prey fish species beyond that of just age-0 Yellow Perch. While Gizzard Shad periodically achieve year classes and figure prominently in Walleye diet in some years (Tables 7 and 10), this production doesn't appear to be enough to afford Yellow Perch the needed predation buffering. Gizzard Shad are at the northern limits of their range (Scott and Crossman 1979) and often fail to survive the winter so their contribution to Walleye diets may be limited to a relatively short window of time in the later summer and fall as young of year. Other species of abundance in the Saginaw Bay prey base are invasive species such as White Perch and Round Goby that are both regularly consumed by Walleyes. Conversely, the native Trout-Perch is abundant in the trawl data series but is infrequently observed in Walleye diets. The native Mimic Shiner has also become abundant since the decline of Alewives, but similar to Trout-Perch, are rarely found in Walleye diets as measured in September collections. Aside from their resurgence in the absence of Alewives, it is not immediately known why this species is flourishing in the bay when it was previously scarce.

## Other Species

Smallmouth Bass are underrepresented in both the trawl and gill-net collections as they are not as vulnerable to those gears as adults prefer to inhabit rocky areas that are usually avoided as sample stations for the two gears due to gear fishability issues. Still, some Smallmouth Bass are encountered each year (Table 9). Northern Pike also do not figure prominently in either collection series yet many regard Saginaw Bay as typical Northern Pike habitat. It is possible they avoid trawling gear or are underrepresented in the collections by inhabiting waters shallower than those sampled by either trawls or gill nets. It has also been questioned if they may be vulnerable to bycatch mortality in the commercial trap-net fishery, but this has not been established.

Lake Whitefish are abundant in outer Saginaw Bay and are not commonly encountered by the two sampling series which focus on the inner bay area. Lake Whitefish are commercially fished and yield averaged 296,351 kg from 2012-2017 (MDNR unpublished data). For much of Lake Huron, Lake Whitefish recruitment has declined since the mid-1990s with as much as a $93 \%$ contraction in recruitment for Ontario waters of the southern main basin (Gobin et al. 2015). Saginaw Bay's greater productivity may be one stronghold for Lake Whitefish reproduction in that it may still contain food resources for newly hatched fry, while much of the main basin may be suffering from ecological shifts that no longer include substantial spring zooplankton blooms.

Two state threatened species have historically been abundant in the bay but today are extirpated (Cisco) or rare (Lake Sturgeon). Both collection series will be part of the evaluation strategy to gauge success or failure of stocked Cisco to survive and reproduce in the new GLFC experiment. The MDNR has begun a Lake Sturgeon stocking initiative in 2017 with an initial release of Lake Sturgeon fingerlings and has established an annual stocking target of 1,000 fish in the Saginaw River system. If successful, this survey series may help indicate any changes in abundance that result.

## Ecological, Food Web Changes in Saginaw Bay and Climate Change

Lake Huron continues to be profoundly affected by restructuring of the food web triggered largely by the effects of the invasive Dreissenid mussels (Riley and Roseman 2013; He et al. 2015; Fetzer et al. 2017). The nearshore environments are not immune to this regime shift (Fetzer et al. 2017) but may play a moderating role in terms of offering bastions of greater productivity and food resources. Notable to Saginaw Bay has been the proliferation of algal forms that resist Dreissenid filtration such as Cladophora species (Francoeur et al. 2015; Francoeur 2017; Winslow et al. 2014). Still, on the whole,
the food web changes in Lake Huron have played an important role in the recovery of Walleye and restoration of reproductive success in Yellow Perch (Fielder and Baker 2019).

One important objective of this long-term study has been to document the presence and effects of invasive species. Bighead Carp, Silver Carp, and Black Carp (sometimes collectively referred to as invasive carp species) have so far not invaded the Great Lakes but would find ideal environmental conditions in productive bays like Saginaw Bay. Consequently, efforts to contain invasive carp species to the Illinois River watershed are of great relevance for Saginaw Bay. Grass Carp have been documented, once in the bay and once in the Tittabawassee River (S. Herbst, Michigan DNR, personal communication) and remain a colonization threat to Saginaw Bay. Similarly, Eurasian Ruffe, already in the Great Lakes, have made it as close as Lake Huron's Thunder Bay ( 90 km away) but so far have not invaded Saginaw Bay. This warm water percid-like fish would also find ideal living conditions if it were ever to reach Saginaw Bay. All of these species have the potential to be substantial fish community disruptors and would jeopardize the recovery of Walleye, potential for Yellow Perch recovery, and the annual recreational fishery worth $\$ 33$ million USD (Fielder et al. 2014).

Warm, productive nearshore embayments of the Great Lakes like Saginaw Bay may be among the habitats most affected by climate change (Mason et al. 2016; Collingsworth et al. 2017). Computer model simulations and projections indicate that Michigan summers could become more like Illinois or Indiana summers in a few decades and more like Tennessee and Arkansas by the end of the century (Mortsch and Quinn 1996; Kling et al. 2003; Hayhoe et al. 2010). Since 1987, mean surface water temperature at the time of the trawling work has increased significantly (Figure 2). Effects of climate change may include increased hypoxia events, botulism outbreaks, increased algal production, changing water levels, loss of the recreational ice fishery, and a restructuring of the fish community favoring warmer water species (Collingsworth et al. 2017). Possible effects might also include more favorable conditions for Alewife resurgence.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. This survey series should be maintained as the critical fishery-independent assessment of the status of the Saginaw Bay fish community. In addition to providing benchmarks to assess key species like Walleye, Yellow Perch, and their prey, this survey provides continued surveillance for invasive species, a means to assess restoration of native species such as Cisco and Lake Sturgeon, and a time series for monitoring the effects of climate change and other anthropogenic stressors.
2. The gill-net portion of the study should be modified to eliminate the paired net sets, which would enable statistical analysis based on simple random sampling and provide greater spatial diversity in sample locations across Saginaw Bay.
3. This data series continues to indicate that the Saginaw Bay Walleye population remains high and the Yellow Perch population remains depressed. Fishery managers should continue to move forward with management objectives that promote predator and prey balance. This can be accomplished via increased fishing mortality of Walleye through liberalized recreational harvest regulations, and efforts to address Yellow Perch mortality rates, including Double Crested Cormorant Phalacrocorax auratus management, when and where possible.
4. Fishery managers should pursue Cisco restoration in Saginaw Bay and support the new reintroduction stocking effort to re-establish a species that can restore a link between the Lake Huron main basin and the bay and provide a predation buffer for Yellow Perch.
5. Lake Sturgeon remain absent or rare from the survey collections, therefore restoration should remain a priority and continue the recent reintroduction stocking effort along with pursuing fish passage at barriers when and where possible to promote spawning opportunities.
6. Local fishery managers should join efforts to contain invasive carp species and prevent their invasion of the Great Lakes.
7. Fishery managers should begin to prepare the fishing public, including commercial fishers, for the anticipated effects of climate change including more variability and uncertainty in future fish production and possible shift of species diversity within the fish community.
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FIGURES


FIGURE 1. Saginaw Bay gill net sampling locations and trawling quadrants.


FIGURE 2. Mean ( $\pm 2$ SE) Secchi disk transparency recorded during fall trawling on Saginaw Bay, 1987-2017. No secchi depth data were recorded in 1989. Horizontal line represents mean Secchi depth from 1987 to 2017.


FIGURE 3. Mean ( $\pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ) water temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ recorded during fall trawling on Saginaw Bay, 1987-2017. Horizontal line represents mean water temperature from 1987 to 2017.


FIGURE 4. Trends in Saginaw Bay prey fish abundance. Prey fish composition for this index includes; Alewife, Emerald Shiner, Gizzard Shad, Rainbow Smelt, Spottail Shiner, Round Goby, Trout-perch, Age 0 White Bass, Age 0 White Perch, Age 0 Yellow Perch, and Mimic Shiner.


FIGURE 5. Mean length-at-age during September for Yellow Perch from Saginaw Bay trawls, 1988-2017. Statewide average lengths: age $1=127 \mathrm{~mm}$, age $2=160 \mathrm{~mm}$, age $3=183 \mathrm{~mm}$, age $4=$ 208 mm (Schneider 2000).


FIGURE 6. Walleye year-class strength as determined by gill-net catch-per-unit-of-effort (CPUE) of yearling Walleye in Saginaw Bay for year classes 1993-2016. Error bars represent two standard errors of the mean. Data for year classes 1993-1996 from Fielder et al. (2000), year classes 1997-2004 from Fielder and Thomas (2006), and year classes 2005-2011 from Fielder and Thomas (2014).


FIGURE 7. Trends in mean length of age 3 Walleyes (sexes combined) at September capture and their $95 \%$ confidence intervals. State average rate from Schneider (2000) and recovery threshold from Fielder and Baker (2004) indicated.

## TABLES

TABLE 1. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and forage index value (number of fish per 10-minute tow) for species collected from fall trawl samples in Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017, and decadal means. Forage index value is the sum of catch rates for Alewife, Emerald Shiner, Gizzard Shad, Mimic Shiner, Rainbow Smelt, Round Goby, Spottail Shiner, Trout-perch, age-0 White Bass, age-0 White Perch, and age-0 Yellow Perch. Twenty-four trawl tows were completed each year. AA = all ages, YOY = young of year (age 0). Measures of variability are omitted for clarity.

| Species | Year(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Decade mean |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2012-17 | 1980s | 1990s | 2000s | 2010s |
| Alewife | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 227.7 | 305.3 | 274.1 | 0.0 |
| Black Crappie | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bluegill | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Brown Bullhead | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Burbot | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Common Carp | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 2.8 |
| Channel Catfish | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| Emerald Shiner | 4.8 | 7.1 | 39.0 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 16.0 | 12.4 | 46.7 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 10.7 |
| Cisco | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Freshwater Drum | 82.0 | 16.4 | 12.8 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 21.9 | 7.0 | 17.3 | 11.6 | 19.6 |
| Gizzard Shad | 38.2 | 40.0 | 16.5 | 26.9 | 15.3 | 42.3 | 29.8 | 35.9 | 19.8 | 12.2 | 25.1 |
| Golden Redhorse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lake Whitefish | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Largemouth Bass | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Logperch | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Longnose Gar | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Mimic Shiner | 962.3 | 661.8 | 43.2 | 411.2 | 430.0 | 403.5 | 485.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 13.7 | 427.5 |
| Northern Pike | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Quillback | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Rainbow Smelt | 1.0 | 70.9 | 2.6 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 30.8 | 264.8 | 271.6 | 195.4 | 86.4 |
| Rock Bass | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Round Goby | 81.5 | 85.7 | 165.2 | 436.7 | 123.2 | 108.7 | 166.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 269.8 | 166.7 |
| Shorthead Redhorse | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Smallmouth Bass | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Spottail Shiner | 99.8 | 130.3 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 21.9 | 26.8 | 50.4 | 489.3 | 479.8 | 560.7 | 77.2 |
| Trout-perch | 542.8 | 429.3 | 97.0 | 122.0 | 554.3 | 329.1 | 345.8 | 145.9 | 530.7 | 385.3 | 344.4 |
| Walleye AA | 19.6 | 30.9 | 32.8 | 30.0 | 11.3 | 31.5 | 26.0 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 22.8 | 28.4 |
| Walleye YOY | 11.8 | 27.3 | 23.3 | 29.9 | 6.2 | 26.6 | 20.9 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 18.4 | 23.2 |
| White Bass | 8.8 | 15.4 | 4.4 | 10.1 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 10.4 | 9.4 |
| White Crappie | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White Perch | 223.8 | 51.2 | 54.3 | 142.8 | 350.4 | 35.8 | 143.0 | 255.9 | 310.7 | 482.0 | 193.7 |
| White Sucker | 4.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 11.7 | 16.5 | 4.9 |
| Yellow Perch AA | 143.0 | 164.9 | 73.4 | 197.0 | 149.7 | 187.9 | 152.6 | 555.8 | 110.0 | 417.5 | 169.8 |
| Yellow Perch YOY | 115.3 | 149.8 | 45.3 | 154.7 | 115.2 | 158.3 | 123.1 | 188.0 | 48.6 | 395.2 | 135.9 |
| Forage index value | 1,116.1 | 979.7 | 425.2 | 994.7 | 1,198.1 | 732.8 | 907.8 | 1,658.7 | 1,978.0 | 2,592.1 | 1,047.5 |

TABLE 2. Mean number captured, mean catch per unit effort (CPUE; number of fish per 10-minute tow), and mean total length of age-0 Walleyes (mm) collected from fall trawl samples in Saginaw Bay, 1986-2017. 2SE = two standard errors of the mean. Mean length data not available prior to 1998 or for 2001.

| Mean number <br> Yaptured |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | CPUE | 2SE | Mean length | 2SE |  |
| 1986 | 20 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| 1987 | 34 | 0.5 | - | - | - |
| 1988 | 39 | 0.6 | - | - | - |
| 1989 | 19 | 1.3 | - | - | - |
| 1990 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| 1991 | 28 | 1.9 | - | - | - |
| 1992 | 6 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| 1994 | 22 | 0.6 | - | - | - |
| 1995 | 14 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| 1996 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| 1997 | 83 | 2.2 | 1.4 | - | - |
| 1998 | 149 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 212 | 2.4 |
| 1999 | 20 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 200 | 13.7 |
| 2000 | 5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 180 | 8.6 |
| 2001 | 27 | 0.9 | 0.7 | - | - |
| 2002 | 84 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 176 | 7.6 |
| 2003 | 1,114 | 40.9 | 16.5 | 171 | 2.2 |
| 2004 | 822 | 19.9 | 4.5 | 117 | 1.4 |
| 2005 | 812 | 31.3 | 11.2 | 119 | 1.1 |
| 2006 | 24 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 162 | 7.5 |
| 2007 | 327 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 148 | 1.6 |
| 2008 | 183 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 144 | 2.6 |
| 2009 | 942 | 65.7 | 14.4 | 105 | 0.8 |
| 2010 | 576 | 30.8 | 14.1 | 119 | 1.7 |
| 2011 | 599 | 29.9 | 8.9 | 134 | 1.3 |
| 2012 | 243 | 11.8 | 2.9 | 142 | 2.8 |
| 2013 | 603 | 27.3 | 8.6 | 117 | 1.4 |
| 2014 | 539 | 23.3 | 6.0 | 120 | 1.9 |
| 2015 | 595 | 29.9 | 8.3 | 109 | 1.7 |
| 2016 | 149 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 147 | 3.0 |
| 2017 | 638 | 26.6 | 8.5 | 118 | 1.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE; number of fish per 10-minute tow) and mean total length (mm) of age 0-Yellow Perch collected from fall trawl samples in Saginaw Bay, 1980-2017. 2SE = two standard errors of the mean. Data prior to 1990 from Haas and Schaeffer (1992).

| Year | CPUE | 2SE | Mean total length (mm) | 2SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 39.0 | - | 86.4 | - |
| 1981 | 71.3 | - | 83.8 | - |
| 1982 | 686.7 | - | 76.2 | - |
| 1983 | 251.9 | - | 76.2 | - |
| 1984 | 171.0 | - | 78.7 | - |
| 1985 | 147.8 | - | 78.7 | - |
| 1986 | 71.4 | - | 73.7 | - |
| 1987 | 131.5 | - | 81.3 | 0.3 |
| 1988 | 56.6 | - | 76.2 | 0.7 |
| 1989 | 252.8 | _ | 71.1 | 0.3 |
| 1990 | 39.0 | - | 79.5 | 0.4 |
| 1991 | 110.8 | - | 70.2 | 0.4 |
| 1992 | 7.1 | - | 76.2 | 1.2 |
| 1993 | 0.5 | - | 90.7 | 6.5 |
| 1994 | 3.9 | - | 85.0 | 5.5 |
| 1995 | 98.9 | - | 72.8 | 0.7 |
| 1996 | 37.3 | - | 81.9 | 0.8 |
| 1997 | 87.4 | 30.9 | 73.8 | 0.6 |
| 1998 | 112.5 | 49.4 | 76.1 | 0.7 |
| 1999 | 19.8 | 6.9 | 92.4 | 0.9 |
| 2000 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 83.2 | 2.1 |
| 2001 | 98.6 | 40.4 | 77.1 | 0.6 |
| 2002 | 26.4 | 12.2 | 76.2 | 0.8 |
| 2003 | 2,389.60 | 943.7 | 69.7 | 0.7 |
| 2004 | 389.9 | 100.5 | 64.9 | 0.7 |
| 2005 | 251.9 | 142.0 | 79.0 | 1.0 |
| 2006 | 87.1 | 57.9 | 72.8 | 1.1 |
| 2007 | 111.8 | 48.5 | 77.6 | 1.0 |
| 2008 | 207.8 | 123.5 | 78.7 | 1.0 |
| 2009 | 363.0 | 111.8 | 75.4 | 0.8 |
| 2010 | 205.8 | 93.5 | 86.2 | 0.8 |
| 2011 | 143.4 | 41.9 | 77.7 | 0.7 |
| 2012 | 115.3 | 35.2 | 87.4 | 1.0 |
| 2013 | 149.8 | 84.3 | 77.7 | 1.4 |
| 2014 | 45.3 | 24.5 | 81.0 | 0.5 |
| 2015 | 154.7 | 67.8 | 77.1 | 1.1 |
| 2016 | 115.2 | 59.9 | 81.2 | 1.0 |
| 2017 | 158.3 | 108.0 | 74.4 | 1.0 |

TABLE 4. Mean catch per unit effort (number of fish per 10-minute tow), by age, of Yellow Perch collected from fall trawl samples in Saginaw Bay, 1986-2017. Grand means are provided for the entire time series, the current reporting period (2012-2017) and the post-Alewife collapse period (20032017).

| Survey year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | Age 1 and older |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |
| 1986 | 117.6 | 132.8 | 125.9 | 128.4 | 21.2 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 530.0 | 412.4 |
| 1987 | 258.0 | 61.0 | 98.6 | 66.8 | 37.6 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 530.9 | 272.9 |
| 1988 | 458.9 | 263.8 | 248.6 | 309.4 | 171.6 | 56.8 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,525.3 | 1,066.4 |
| 1989 | 280.2 | 168.7 | 180.3 | 128.0 | 81.1 | 33.3 | 12.9 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 889.6 | 609.4 |
| 1990 | 34.0 | 37.8 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 12.6 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 135.3 | 101.3 |
| 1991 | 102.6 | 15.6 | 29.3 | 19.2 | 13.5 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 191.8 | 89.1 |
| 1992 | 7.7 | 44.5 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.9 | 67.2 |
| 1993 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 20.7 | 7.6 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 37.3 |
| 1994 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 10.1 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 18.2 |
| 1995 | 100.6 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125.8 | 25.2 |
| 1996 | 37.9 | 30.9 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 47.1 |
| 1997 | 89.1 | 11.3 | 16.9 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 122.0 | 32.8 |
| 1998 | 74.4 | 54.1 | 11.7 | 6.6 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 149.2 | 74.8 |
| 1999 | 19.5 | 28.1 | 25.3 | 10.7 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89.7 | 70.3 |
| 2000 | 9.4 | 4.0 | 11.6 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.2 | 29.8 |
| 2001 | 134.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 11.3 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 157.2 | 23.3 |
| 2002 | 36.7 | 28.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.3 | 33.6 |
| 2003 | 2,450.3 | 4.6 | 11.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,468.7 | 18.4 |
| 2004 | 461.8 | 22.9 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 490.7 | 28.9 |
| 2005 | 233.7 | 20.7 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 260.8 | 27.2 |
| 2006 | 84.9 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.4 | 11.4 |
| 2007 | 89.8 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.9 | 9.1 |
| 2008 | 214.4 | 20.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 236.2 | 21.8 |
| 2009 | 313.9 | 25.9 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 341.8 | 27.8 |
| 2010 | 203.0 | 30.8 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 236.2 | 33.2 |
| 2011 | 153.3 | 46.3 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204.3 | 51.0 |
| 2012 | 118.0 | 17.5 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 143.0 | 25.0 |
| 2013 | 155.0 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 164.9 | 9.9 |
| 2014 | 50.8 | 20.1 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 22.5 |
| 2015 | 160.5 | 33.7 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 196.8 | 36.3 |
| 2016 | 116.4 | 28.0 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 149.7 | 33.3 |
| 2017 | 158.2 | 19.3 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 187.9 | 29.7 |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All years | 210.3 | 37.8 | 27.2 | 23.7 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 316.4 | 106.1 |
| 2012-2017 | 126.5 | 21.0 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 152.6 | 26.1 |
| 2003-2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 330.9 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 356.6 | 25.7 |

[^1]TABLE 5. Mean male to female sex ratio (M/F), by age, of Yellow Perch in Saginaw Bay from fall trawl samples collected since the Alewife collapse in 2003. CI = confidence interval; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of years with data available in the time period.

| Age | M/F ratio | $95 \%$ CI | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.03 | $0.94-1.12$ | 15 |
| 2 | 0.73 | $0.60-0.85$ | 15 |
| 3 | 0.73 | $0.58-0.88$ | 15 |
| 4 | 1.99 | $1.17-2.80$ | 9 |
| 5 | 3.71 | $0.65-6.77$ | 4 |
| 6 | 4.99 | - | 1 |
| All ages | 0.99 | $0.90-1.07$ | 15 |

TABLE 6. Mean length-at-age (mm) of Yellow Perch collected from fall trawl samples in Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017. SWA = fall statewide average (Schneider 2000).

| Age | SWA | Survey year |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012-17 } \\ \text { mean } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |  |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 150 | 144 | 140 | 133 | 132 | 142 | 140 |
| 2 | - | 202 | 206 | 198 | 193 | 175 | 193 | 195 |
| 3 | - | 229 | 240 | 231 | 201 | 219 | 231 | 225 |
| 4 | - | 259 | 282 | - | - | - | 263 | 268 |
| 5 | - | - | 292 | - | - | - | 292 | 292 |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 160 | 159 | 150 | 144 | 137 | 149 | 150 |
| 2 | - | 221 | 233 | 211 | 212 | 203 | 216 | 216 |
| 3 | - | 251 | 260 | 265 | 267 | 262 | 242 | 258 |
| 4 | - | 277 | 271 | 305 | - | - | 295 | 287 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Sexes combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 127 | 155 | 150 | 146 | 138 | 135 | 145 | 145 |
| 2 | 160 | 213 | 223 | 206 | 201 | 197 | 208 | 208 |
| 3 | 183 | 242 | 250 | 251 | 245 | 241 | 237 | 244 |
| 4 | 208 | 265 | 275 | 305 | - | - | 282 | 282 |
| 5 | 234 | - | 292 | - | - | - | 292 | 292 |
| 6 | 257 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 7. Number of stomachs examined, incidence of empty stomachs, and frequency of occurrence (\% of non-empty stomachs containing selected taxa) of food items found in age-1 and older Walleyes collected from fall trawl samples in Saginaw Bay, 1998-2017.

|  |  |  | Diet item |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Stomachs examined | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Empty } \end{gathered}$ | Unidentified fish remains | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gizzard } \\ & \text { Shad } \end{aligned}$ | Alewife | Yellow Perch | Spottail Shiner | White Sucker | Round Goby | White Perch | Zebra <br> Mussel | Troutperch | Freshwater Drum | Other taxa |
| 1998 | 51 | 27 | 57 | 0 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 94 | 28 | 47 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 43 | 26 | 59 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 33 | 18 | 63 | 0 | 70 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 33 | 27 | 56 | 4 | 28 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 33 | 15 | 68 | 0 | 14 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 176 | 36 | 63 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 2005 | 116 | 49 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2006 | 271 | 37 | 64 | 16 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 147 | 24 | 54 | 38 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 182 | 22 | 59 | 33 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 2009 | 55 | 25 | 61 | 15 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2010 | 77 | 22 | 22 | 33 | 0 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2011 | 117 | 24 | 56 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2012 | 169 | 41 | 45 | 49 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 2013 | 94 | 44 | 38 | 42 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 207 | 26 | 53 | 42 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| 2015 | 53 | 36 | 59 | 38 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2016 | 122 | 37 | 48 | 44 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 2017 | 124 | 48 | 47 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

TABLE 8. Mean gill-net catch per unit effort (number per 335 m gill-net lift) and two standard errors of the mean (2SE) by species for Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017, at traditional netting locations (16 net sets or $5,364 \mathrm{~m}$, total each year), including four net lifts from Charity Islands and Tawas Bay added in 1995. Data includes the 38 mm ( $11 / 2$ inch) mesh catch added in 1993.

| Species | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE |
| Alewife | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bowfin | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| Brown Bullhead | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Brown Trout | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Burbot | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| Common Carp | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| Channel Catfish | 5.44 | 4.94 | 5.94 | 5.56 | 5.75 | 5.37 | 3.38 | 2.64 | 9.56 | 8.82 | 7.88 | 9.16 |
| Chinook Salmon | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cisco | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Freshwater Drum | 8.44 | 3.94 | 15.00 | 5.15 | 9.75 | 7.59 | 5.88 | 5.99 | 18.69 | 14.12 | 6.06 | 3.74 |
| Gizzard Shad | 85.00 | 60.29 | 16.25 | 14.23 | 10.75 | 8.78 | 2.50 | 4.58 | 14.62 | 14.59 | 22.12 | 30.91 |
| Goldfish | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| Lake Sturgeon | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lake Trout | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lake Whitefish | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Longnose Gar | 2.62 | 4.03 | 6.19 | 10.60 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.38 | 2.48 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| Longnose Sucker | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Muskellunge | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Northern Pike | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.88 | 0.41 |
| Northern Redhorse | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pumpkinseed | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quillback | 1.19 | 0.68 | 1.50 | 1.18 | 1.81 | 2.45 | 1.38 | 1.54 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.81 | 0.89 |
| Rainbow Smelt | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rock Bass | 0.81 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| Round Goby | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Round Whitefish | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| Smallmouth Bass | 1.00 | 1.12 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| Unidentified redhorse sp. | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.26 |
| Walleye | 43.75 | 19.72 | 33.56 | 20.85 | 29.50 | 17.57 | 21.31 | 13.96 | 45.88 | 22.41 | 49.50 | 32.41 |
| White Bass | 4.88 | 5.01 | 3.38 | 2.55 | 3.44 | 3.15 | 0.69 | 1.10 | 5.38 | 6.34 | 2.94 | 2.59 |
| White Perch | 6.62 | 4.46 | 5.50 | 3.23 | 7.31 | 6.16 | 4.25 | 3.74 | 9.12 | 10.78 | 28.19 | 18.59 |
| White Sucker | 13.06 | 9.54 | 10.62 | 9.29 | 10.25 | 8.83 | 29.06 | 23.29 | 10.62 | 6.21 | 10.75 | 9.86 |
| Yellow Perch | 36.88 | 25.07 | 22.56 | 15.83 | 23.94 | 19.74 | 107.69 | 120.98 | 52.25 | 38.32 | 63.40 | 59.02 |

TABLE 9. Catch per unit effort (CPUE; number of fish per 335 m gill-net lift) by year class of Walleye in fall gill-net survey catches, Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017. Total CPUE values are based on aged sample and therefore may differ slightly from the value reported in Table 8.

| Year class | Survey year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |
|  | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE |
| 2017 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.1 |
| 2016 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 7.7 |
| 2015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 3.6 | 2 | 18.4 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 7.1 | 3 | 6.4 |
| 2013 | - | - | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 4.6 | 3 | 12.6 | 4 | 5.1 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 2.3 | 2 | 3.4 | 3 | 3.3 | 4 | 5.3 | 5 | 2.6 |
| 2011 | 1 | 6.0 | 2 | 8.9 | 3 | 11.3 | 4 | 6.6 | 5 | 7.3 | 6 | 4.4 |
| 2010 | 2 | 24.4 | 3 | 15.4 | 4 | 9.9 | 5 | 4.9 | 6 | 6.1 | 7 | 2.9 |
| 2009 | 3 | 6.4 | 4 | 3.2 | 5 | 1.9 | 6 | 0.3 | 7 | 1.9 | 8 | 0.9 |
| 2008 | 4 | 1.6 | 5 | 1.1 | 6 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.4 |
| 2007 | 5 | 2.8 | 6 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.3 |
| 2006 | 6 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.7 | 8 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 2005 | 7 | 1.1 | 8 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.1 |
| 2004 | 8 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 2003 | 9 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 0.1 |
| 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 0.1 |
| 2001 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mean age | 2.5 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.8 |  | 3.9 |  | 3.3 |  |
| Total CPUE |  | 43.8 |  | 33.5 |  | 28.5 |  | 21.3 |  | 45.8 |  | 49.4 |

TABLE 10．Number of stomachs examined，incidence of empty stomachs，and frequency of occurrence（\％of non－empty stomachs containing selected taxa）of food items found in age－1 and older Walleyes collected from fall gill－net samples in Saginaw Bay，1989－2017．

|  |  |  | Prey Item |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \text { 烒 } \\ & \text { do } \end{aligned}$ | Unidentified fish remains | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जै } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { Uu } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { तु } \\ & \text { च } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { 寻 } \\ & \text { 彦 } \\ & \text { 畐 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 무 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 무N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { n } \\ & 3 \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岕 } \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{u} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yy } \\ & \text { y } \\ & =0 \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1989 | 257 | 25.7 | 55.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1990 | 508 | 37.4 | 42.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1991 | 669 | 35.9 | 50.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 1992 | 171 | 55.6 | 61.8 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| 1993 | 371 | 52.6 | 55.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| 1994 | 84 | 52.4 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| 1995 | 291 | 45.0 | 53.1 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| 1996 | 267 | 34.1 | 23.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| 1997 | 204 | 35.3 | 34.8 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 1998 | 234 | 47.4 | 50.4 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| 1999 | 231 | 48.9 | 60.2 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
| 2000 | 119 | 47.9 | 72.6 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| 2001 | 132 | 49.2 | 35.8 | 47.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 2002 | 129 | 70.5 | 42.1 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2003 | 401 | 51.9 | 21.2 | 31.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 22.8 | 0.0 |
| 2004 | 216 | 65.7 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 56.8 | 1.4 |
| 2005 | 307 | 59.6 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.3 | 0.0 |
| 2006 | 603 | 59.2 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 0.8 |
| 2007 | 570 | 49.1 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 553 | 46.1 | 66.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 256 | 57.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 1.8 |
| 2010 | 470 | 66.6 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 12.1 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 530 | 49.4 | 46.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 700 | 62.0 | 43.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 33.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 |
| 2013 | 537 | 55.1 | 64.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 11.2 | 0.0 |
| 2014 | 472 | 83.9 | 40.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| 2015 | 341 | 71.3 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 50.0 | 1.0 |
| 2016 | 734 | 63.1 | 66.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| 2017 | 830 | 58.2 | 52.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 0.0 |

TABLE 11. Mean length-at-age (mm) and two standard errors of the mean (2SE) for Walleyes and Yellow Perch (both sexes combined) collected from fall gill-net samples in Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017. Statewide average lengths are from August-September (Schneider 2000). Saginaw Bay historic average length-at-age for 1926-1938 is also included for Walleyes (Hile 1954). No means are included for sample sizes less than 5 specimens. Growth index (mm) is calculated with methodology from Schneider (2000).

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | Statewide | Saginaw <br> Bay historic |
|  | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE | Mean | 2SE |  |  |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 180 | - |
| 1 | 279.2 | 5.4 | 267.4 | 8.4 | 260.4 | 7.2 | 262.7 | 14.5 | 267.2 | 7.1 | 286.0 | 2.9 | 250 | 254 |
| 2 | 359.5 | 2.6 | 360.5 | 3.0 | 345.6 | 7.4 | 345.8 | 3.8 | 356.9 | 6.2 | 351.6 | 4.3 | 338 | 320 |
| 3 | 409.4 | 7.3 | 413.7 | 3.1 | 406.9 | 3.9 | 399.6 | 7.5 | 414.6 | 3.4 | 422.7 | 9.5 | 386 | 371 |
| 4 | 456.0 | 12.3 | 446.7 | 8.4 | 437.7 | 5.0 | 440.5 | 5.2 | 446.1 | 4.9 | 463.9 | 8.4 | 437 | 411 |
| 5 | 482.5 | 16.2 | 490.5 | 15.4 | 460.2 | 13.1 | 468.3 | 7.3 | 472.9 | 6.7 | 481.7 | 11.0 | 472 | 457 |
| 6 | 494.0 | 18.5 | 522.0 | 18.2 | 503.9 | 25.6 | - | - | 481.2 | 7.7 | 493.3 | 10.6 | 516 | 483 |
| 7 | 527.0 | 26.1 | 505.6 | 15.1 | - | - | 498.3 | 30.8 | 483.7 | 8.7 | 502.0 | 11.9 | 541 | 505 |
| 8 | 519.4 | 26.6 | 548.0 | 41.5 | 504.4 | 24.8 | 546.5 | 28.7 | - | - | 516.5 | 22.4 | 561 | 533 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 552.3 | 31.0 | 512.0 | 28.4 | 582 | 582 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 502.6 | 27.9 | - | - |  |  |
| Growth index | +3.2 |  | +6.7 |  | -5.8 |  | -3.3 |  | -5.9 |  | -5.9 |  |  | -15.2 |
|  | Yellow Perch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84 | - |
| 1 | 155.3 | 2.4 | 157.0 | 2.2 | 155.9 | 2.9 | 151.1 | 1.6 | 152.8 | 1.7 | 154.7 | 1.6 | 127 | - |
| 2 | 203.8 | 4.2 | 217.8 | 6.9 | 193.6 | 7.3 | 210.8 | 3.1 | 202.7 | 3.5 | 209.0 | 2.9 | 160 | - |
| 3 | 233.5 | 6.1 | 265.7 | 7.9 | 248.5 | 10.1 | 261.0 | 5.5 | 231.3 | 8.4 | 240.7 | 8.6 | 183 | - |
| 4 | 254.9 | 17.5 | 281.8 | 17.7 | 296.3 | 16.6 | 295.2 | 6.0 | 269.3 | 15.1 | 282.0 | 12.1 | 208 | - |
| 5 | 261.0 | 57.4 | - | - | - | - | 309.5 | 13.2 | - | - | - | - | 234 | - |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 257 | - |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 277 | - |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 292 | - |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 302 | - |
| Growth index |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

TABLE 12. Mean relative weight by length class (Gabelhouse 1984; Anderson and Weithman 1978; Anderson and Gutreuter 1983) and all sizes combined for Walleyes and Yellow Perch collected from fall gill nets in Saginaw Bay, 1999-2017. N = number of fish sampled.

| Year | Length class |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stockquality | Qualitypreferred | Preferredmemorable | All sizes combined |  |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 88 | 90 | 86 | 88 | 231 |
| 2000 | 107 | 90 | 81 | 88 | 116 |
| 2001 | 103 | 96 | 92 | 94 | 114 |
| 2002 | 87 | 86 | 88 | 87 | 127 |
| 2003 | 90 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 382 |
| 2004 | 86 | 86 | 83 | 86 | 216 |
| 2005 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 83 | 307 |
| 2006 | 83 | 79 | 78 | 82 | 603 |
| 2007 | 84 | 82 | 79 | 83 | 570 |
| 2008 | 89 | 83 | 81 | 85 | 553 |
| 2009 | 82 | 79 | 76 | 81 | 254 |
| 2010 | 91 | 88 | 88 | 89 | 465 |
| 2011 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 528 |
| 2012 | 82 | 82 | 85 | 83 | 699 |
| 2013 | 84 | 81 | 80 | 82 | 535 |
| 2014 | 76 | 74 | 66 | 74 | 472 |
| 2015 | 82 | 83 | 80 | 83 | 341 |
| 2016 | 87 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 733 |
| 2017 | 86 | 83 | 83 | 85 | 788 |
| Yellow Perch |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 79 | 90 | 87 | 82 | 528 |
| 2000 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 89 | 358 |
| 2001 | 103 | 97 | 92 | 100 | 825 |
| 2002 | 95 | 101 | 92 | 96 | 458 |
| 2003 | 90 | 93 | 90 | 91 | 399 |
| 2004 | 101 | 97 | 88 | 99 | 380 |
| 2005 | 90 | 90 | 86 | 89 | 413 |
| 2006 | 93 | 91 | 87 | 92 | 285 |
| 2007 | 85 | 93 | 94 | 89 | 332 |
| 2008 | 97 | 92 | 92 | 96 | 428 |
| 2009 | 88 | 93 | 92 | 90 | 635 |
| 2010 | 88 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 367 |
| 2011 | 89 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 420 |
| 2012 | 95 | 91 | 89 | 93 | 399 |
| 2013 | 88 | 90 | 91 | 89 | 228 |
| 2014 | 100 | 83 | 88 | 97 | 219 |
| 2015 | 101 | 98 | 94 | 99 | 565 |
| 2016 | 92 | 90 | 92 | 91 | 405 |
| 2017 | 96 | 97 | 94 | 96 | 481 |

TABLE 13. Walleye and Yellow Perch proportional stock density (PSD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and relative stock density (RSD-P and RSD-M) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ in parentheses from fall gill-net data, 2012-2017 from Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Species | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Walleye | $42(6,0)$ | $72(7,0)$ | $79(2,0)$ | $74(8,0)$ | $81(10,0)$ | $55(11,0)$ |
| Yellow Perch | $55(8,1)$ | $40(16,3)$ | $40(17,3)$ | $41(12,3)$ | $38(7,1)$ | $47(8,1)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stock and quality size for Walleye is 250 mm and 380 mm , respectively, Yellow Perch: 130 mm and 200 mm . Range of PSD values suggested as indicative of balance when the population supports a substantial fishery is 30-60 for Walleye and 30-50 for Yellow Perch (Gabelhouse 1984, Anderson and Weithman 1978).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preferred size for Walleye is 510 mm , memorable size is 630 mm . For Yellow Perch, it is 250 mm and 300 mm , respectively (Gabelhouse 1984, Anderson and Gutreuter 1983).

TABLE 14. Percent mature and age at $50 \%$ maturity for male and female Walleye in Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017 (all years combined).

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | Number | \% mature |  | Number | \% mature |  |
| 0 | 5 | 0.0 |  | 3 | 0.0 |  |
| 1 | 195 | 3.1 |  | 145 | 4.1 |  |
| 2 | 504 | 21.4 |  | 562 | 6.2 |  |
| 3 | 425 | 53.6 |  | 456 | 24.3 |  |
| 4 | 249 | 77.9 |  | 250 | 75.2 |  |
| 5 | 165 | 95.8 |  | 163 | 89.0 |  |
| 6 | 135 | 96.3 |  | 86 | 93.0 |  |
| 7 | 85 | 98.8 |  | 30 | 83.3 |  |
| 8 | 32 | 90.6 |  | 13 | 92.3 |  |
| 9 | 12 | 100.0 |  | 14 | 85.7 |  |
| 10 | 14 | 100.0 |  | - | - |  |
| 11 | 62 | 100.0 |  | 1 | 100.0 |  |
| 12 | 2 | 100.0 |  | 1 | 100.0 |  |
| 13 | 1 | 100.0 |  | - | - |  |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity |  | 2.89 |  |  | 3.50 |  |

TABLE 15. Total annual mortality rate and $\pm 95 \%$ confidence interval (CI), determined by the RobsonChapman method of point-in-time catch curve analysis, for Walleye and Yellow Perch collected from fall gill-net samples in Saginaw Bay, 1989-2017. Vacant cells (represented by a -) indicate insufficient data for calculation.

|  | Walleye |  |  | Yellow Perch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | A | $\pm 95 \%$ CI |  | A | $\pm 95 \%$ CI |
| 1989 | 0.53 | 0.025 |  | - | - |
| 1990 | 0.43 | 0.011 |  | 0.52 | 0.045 |
| 1991 | 0.41 | 0.010 |  | 0.67 | 0.029 |
| 1992 | 0.32 | 0.015 |  | 0.57 | 0.026 |
| 1993 | 0.40 | 0.014 |  | 0.50 | 0.020 |
| 1994 | 0.43 | 0.028 |  | 0.60 | 0.023 |
| 1995 | 0.41 | 0.015 |  | 0.55 | 0.024 |
| 1996 | 0.31 | 0.012 |  | 0.41 | 0.011 |
| 1997 | 0.33 | 0.015 |  | 0.50 | 0.016 |
| 1998 | 0.35 | 0.013 |  | 0.47 | 0.019 |
| 1999 | 0.45 | 0.015 |  | 0.46 | 0.010 |
| 2000 | 0.59 | 0.035 |  | 0.46 | 0.014 |
| 2001 | 0.41 | 0.028 |  | 0.58 | 0.015 |
| 2002 | 0.43 | 0.024 |  | 0.73 | 0.018 |
| 2003 | 0.47 | 0.016 |  | 0.60 | 0.015 |
| 2004 | 0.56 | 0.017 |  | 0.53 | 0.019 |
| 2005 | 0.70 | 0.018 |  | 0.59 | 0.018 |
| 2006 | 0.65 | 0.013 |  | 0.51 | 0.020 |
| 2007 | 0.59 | 0.014 |  | 0.014 |  |
| 2008 | 0.44 | 0.010 |  | 0.68 | 0.016 |
| 2009 | 0.55 | 0.022 |  | 0.67 | 0.012 |
| 2010 | 0.51 | 0.019 |  | 0.61 | 0.017 |
| 2011 | 0.34 | 0.009 |  | 0.75 | 0.018 |
| 2012 | 0.62 | 0.012 | 0.73 | 0.019 |  |
| 2013 | 0.59 | 0.015 | 0.63 | 0.022 |  |
| 2014 | 0.55 | 0.015 | 0.53 | 0.019 |  |
| 2015 | 0.44 | 0.015 | 0.62 | 0.015 |  |
| 2016 | 0.46 | 0.010 | 0.56 | 0.015 |  |
| 2017 | 0.37 | 0.006 |  | 0.61 | 0.014 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 16. Total annual mortality rate (A) and $\pm 95 \%$ confidence interval (CI), determined by the cohort method (applying the Robson-Chapman calculation method of catch curve analysis of year classes) for Walleye and Yellow Perch collected from fall gill-net samples in Saginaw Bay, 1989-2017 (1989-2012 year classes). Vacant cells (represented by a -) indicates insufficient data for calculation.

| Year <br> class | Walleye |  |  |  | Yellow Perch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | $\pm 95 \%$ CI | Years spanned | Ages spanned | A | $\pm 95 \%$ CI | Years spanned | Ages spanned |
| 1989 | 0.53 | 0.027 | 1990-1999 | 1-10 | - | - | - | - |
| 1990 | 0.46 | 0.037 | 1991-2001 | 1-11 | 0.47 | 0.033 | 1993-2001 | 3-11 |
| 1991 | 0.47 | 0.055 | 1993-2002 | 2-11 | 0.68 | 0.084 | 1996-2000 | 5-9 |
| 1992 | 0.25 | 0.073 | 1993-2002 | 1-10 | 0.37 | 0.051 | 1993-2000 | 1-8 |
| 1993 | 0.22 | 0.096 | 1994-2004 | 1-11 | 0.48 | 0.050 | 1996-2001 | 3-8 |
| 1994 | 0.39 | 0.062 | 1996-2005 | 2-11 | 0.61 | 0.046 | 1996-2001 | 2-7 |
| 1995 | 0.32 | 0.059 | 1996-2007 | 1-12 | 0.47 | 0.049 | 1997-2003 | 2-8 |
| 1996 | 0.13 | 0.134 | 1997-2004 | 1-8 | 0.46 | 0.058 | 1998-2004 | 2-8 |
| 1997 | 0.41 | 0.073 | 1998-2008 | 1-11 | 0.62 | 0.078 | 1999-2003 | 2-6 |
| 1998 | 0.45 | 0.063 | 1999-2007 | 1-9 | 0.53 | 0.044 | 1999-2005 | 1-7 |
| 1999 | 0.35 | 0.140 | 2002-2009 | 2-9 | 0.55 | 0.081 | 2001-2005 | 2-6 |
| 2000 | 0.34 | 0.219 | 2003-2010 | 3-10 | 0.60 | 0.084 | 2001-2007 | 1-7 |
| 2001 | 0.46 | 0.108 | 2003-2011 | 2-10 | 0.65 | 0.050 | 2003-2008 | 2-7 |
| 2002 | 0.38 | 0.059 | 2003-2011 | 1-9 | 0.72 | 0.135 | 2004-2007 | 2-5 |
| 2003 | 0.41 | 0.037 | 2005-2012 | 2-9 | 0.69 | 0.068 | 2005-2010 | 2-7 |
| 2004 | 0.41 | 0.031 | 2006-2017 | 2-13 | 0.56 | 0.065 | 2005-2010 | 1-6 |
| 2005 | 0.44 | 0.035 | 2007-2017 | 2-12 | 0.56 | 0.061 | 2006-2012 | 1-7 |
| 2006 | 0.50 | 0.065 | 2010-2017 | 4-11 | 0.56 | 0.086 | 2007-2010 | 1-4 |
| 2007 | 0.38 | 0.038 | 2008-2017 | 1-10 | 0.61 | 0.049 | 2008-2015 | 1-8 |
| 2008 | 0.47 | 0.085 | 2010-2016 | 3-9 | 0.75 | 0.042 | 2009-2015 | 1-7 |
| 2009 | 0.37 | 0.049 | 2010-2016 | 2-8 | 0.51 | 0.047 | 2010-2015 | 1-6 |
| 2010 | 0.41 | 0.032 | 2012-2017 | 2-7 | 0.63 | 0.037 | 2011-2017 | 1-7 |
| 2011 | 0.58 | 0.092 | 2013-2016 | 3-6 | 0.58 | 0.078 | 2012-2016 | 1-5 |
| 2012 | 0.50 | 0.127 | 2013-2016 | 2-5 | 0.52 | 0.054 | 2013-2017 | 1-5 |

TABLE 17. Catch per unit effort (CPUE; number of fish per 335 m gill-net lift) by year class for Yellow Perch collected from fall gill-net samples in Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017. Total CPUE values are based on aged sample and therefore may differ slightly from the value reported in Table 8.

| Year class | Survey year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |
|  | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE | Age | CPUE |
| 2017 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 23.1 |
| 2015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 22.0 | 2 | 21.0 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 40.7 | 2 | 21.4 | 3 | 3.8 |
| 2013 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 11.9 | 2 | 35.6 | 3 | 5.9 | 4 | 0.5 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 15.8 | 2 | 10.3 | 3 | 8.7 | 4 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.1 |
| 2011 | 1 | 13.0 | 2 | 6.0 | 3 | 4.1 | 4 | 5.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 2 | 26.5 | 3 | 2.9 | 4 | 1.4 | 5 | 1.7 | 6 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 2009 | 3 | 9.1 | 4 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.3 | 7 | - | 8 | - |
| 2008 | 4 | 1.4 | 5 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 2007 | 5 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 2006 | 6 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | 7 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Number aged | 405 |  | 230 |  | 225 |  | 647 |  | 409 |  | 487 |  |
| Mean age | 2.0 |  | 1.6 |  | 1.9 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.6 |  |
| Total CPUE |  | 50.6 |  | 25.6 |  | 28.1 |  | 92.4 |  | 51.1 |  | 48.7 |

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. Common and scientific names of fishes and other aquatic organisms mentioned in this report.

| Common name | Scientific name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alewife | Alosa pseudoharengus |
| Bighead Carp | Hypophthalmichthys nobilis |
| Black Carp | Mylopharyngodon piceus |
| Black Crappie | Pomoxis nigromaculatus |
| Bluegill | Lepomis macrochirus |
| Bowfin | Amia calva |
| Brown Bullhead | Ameiurus nebulosus |
| Brown Trout | Salmo trutta |
| Burbot | Lota lota |
| Channel Catfish | Ictalurus punctatus |
| Chinook Salmon | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha |
| Cisco | Coregonus artedi |
| Common Carp | Cyprinus carpio |
| Emerald Shiner | Notropis atherinoides |
| Eurasian Ruffe | Gymnocephalus cernua |
| Freshwater Drum | Aplodinotus grunniens |
| Gizzard Shad | Dorosoma cepedianum |
| Golden Redhorse | Moxostoma erythrurum |
| Goldfish | Carassius auratus |
| Grass Carp | Ctenopharyngodon idella |
| Johnny Darter | Etheostoma nigrum |
| Lake Sturgeon | Acipenser fulvescens |
| Lake Trout | Sapelinus namacush |
| Lake Whitefish | Coregonus clupeaformis |
| Largemouth Bass | Micropterus salmoides |
| Logperch | Percina caprodes |
| Longnose Gar | Lepisosteus osseus |
| Longnose Sucker | Catostomus catostomus |
| Mimic Shiner | Notropis volucellus |
| Muskellunge | Esox masquinongy |
| Northern Pike | Esox lucius |
| Northern Redhorse | Moxostoma macrolepidotum |
| Pumpkinseed | Lepomis gibbosus |
| Quilback | Carpiodes cyprinus |
| Rainbow Smelt | Osmerus mordax |
| Redhorse spp. | Moxostoma spp. |
| Rock Bass | Ambloplites rupestris |
| Round Goby | Neogobius melanostomus |
| Round Whitefish | Prosopium cylindraceum |
| Shorthead Redhorse | Moxostoma macrolepidotum |
| Silver Carp | Hypophthalmichthys molitrix |
| Smallmouth Bass | Micropterus dolomieu |
| Spottail Shiner | Notropis hudsonius |
| Trout-perch | Percopsis omiscomaycus |
| Walleye | Sander vitreus formerly Stizostedion vitreum |
| White Bass | Morone chryrsops |
| White Crappie | Pomoxis annularis |
| White Perch | Morone americana |
| White Sucker | Catostomus commersonii |
| Yellow Perch | Perca flavescens |
|  |  |

APPENDIX B．Mean catch－per－unit－effort（number of fish per 10－minute tow）for common species collected during fall bottom trawling in Saginaw Bay，1971－2017，including data previously reported by Weber（1985），Haas and Schaeffer（1992），Fielder et al．（2000），and Fielder and Thomas （2014）．NA＝data not available for that year．

|  |  | Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \frac{2}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅠ } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { O} \\ & N \\ & N \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | ज 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 | \＃ \＃ 首 首 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ữ } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | әлıочрәу реәчночя |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{\pi} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \vdots \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 5 0 0 3 3 0 0 0 |
| 1971 | 30 | 126.1 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 392.4 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 94.4 | 44.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 196.0 |
| 1972 | 15 | 109.3 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 375.4 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 419.4 | 99.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 151.0 |
| 1973 | 17 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 286.7 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 16.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 104.0 |
| 1974 | 30 | 7.7 | 14.2 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 21.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 139.2 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 191.7 | 119.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 256.0 |
| 1975 | 20 | 21.9 | 16.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 17.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 220.1 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 435.1 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 573.0 |
| 1976 | 20 | 40.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 370.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 779.9 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 93.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 272.0 |
| 1977 | 21 | 13.1 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 18.7 | 1.4 | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 64.6 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 448.8 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 392.0 |
| 1978 | 18 | 71.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 146.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 498.6 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 562.6 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 286.0 |
| 1979 | 18 | 352.5 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 336.9 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 711.9 | 177.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 661.0 |
| 1980 | 20 | 83.7 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 48.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 801.6 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 441.8 | 45.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 703.0 |
| 1981 | 17 | 288.7 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 98.3 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 849.0 | 55.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 393.0 |
| 1982 | 13 | 127.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 265.5 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 211.5 | 30.3 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 1，319．0 |
| 1983 | 17 | 1，029．5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 53.7 | 11.0 | 38.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 57.7 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 1，236．5 | 255.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 384.0 |
| 1984 | 12 | 58.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 7.7 | 7.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 249.7 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 787.1 | 148.0 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 14.3 | 444.0 |
| 1985 | 24 | 18.1 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 11.4 | 15.1 | 10.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 202.1 | NA | 0.0 | NA | 164.7 | 314.6 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 362.0 |
| 1986 | 46 | 303.5 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 242.0 | 16.8 | 10.5 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 366.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 284.9 | 156.7 | 1.4 | 13.4 | 10.8 | 5.5 | 420.0 |
| 1987 | 67 | 56.7 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 41.9 | 3.5 | 29.2 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 210.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 470.4 | 167.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 57.7 | 6.2 | 476.0 |
| 1988 | 38 | 85.7 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 54.9 | 0.9 | 41.3 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 176.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 106.8 | 53.6 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 168.3 | 4.4 | 258.0 |
| 1989 | 15 | 226.1 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 57.3 | 9.4 | 168.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 220.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 340.1 | 232.2 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2，321．3 | 3.3 | 799.0 |
| 1990 | 16 | 16.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 44.9 | 23.5 | 45.8 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 47.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 197.6 | 135.2 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 681.8 | 11.2 | 151.0 |
| 1991 | 16 | 80.8 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 14.8 | 24.6 | 49.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 124.1 | 165.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 412.4 | 12.3 | 191.8 |
| 1992 | 36 | 302.5 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 9.3 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 280.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 182.0 | 199.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 91.5 | 7.6 | 74.9 |
| 1993 | 37 | 226.1 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 9.7 | 20.2 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 562.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.7 | 437.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 29.7 | 10.6 | 40.5 |
| 1994 | 32 | 48.3 | 6.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 203.1 | 512.5 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 183.0 | 9.8 | 24.2 |
| 1995 | 33 | 306.8 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 6.7 | 28.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 372.6 | 513.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 527.8 | 7.0 | 124.7 |

APPENDIX B．Continued．

|  |  | Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey year | 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \frac{2}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亿్త } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 気 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 華 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ते } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | әлıочрәу реәццочя |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { 華 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yy } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \text { n } \\ & \pm \\ & \# \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | I 0 0 3 0 0 0 |
| 1996 | 30 | 98.7 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 16.3 | 22.9 | 20.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 209.5 | 474.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 277.2 | 7.7 | 85.0 |
| 1997 | 31 | 300.7 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 12.6 | 4.6 | 17.8 | 20.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1，584．6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 808.5 | 733.3 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 416.4 | 28.4 | 121.8 |
| 1998 | 27 | 1，590．5 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 26.2 | 22.7 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 665.0 | 1，729．8 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 345.8 | 12.4 | 173.6 |
| 1999 | 27 | 82.4 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 46.2 | 3.9 | 32.1 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1，935．2 | 405.8 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 141.2 | 10.1 | 112.4 |
| 2000 | 30 | 337.3 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 16.4 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 30.1 | 1.1 | 390.1 | 0.1 | 127.1 | 0.0 | 1，101．4 | 619.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 894.7 | 7.1 | 36.7 |
| 2001 | 25 | 1，241．7 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 18.1 | 3.8 | 495.7 | 0.2 | 385.3 | 0.1 | 863.0 | 422.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 543.6 | 24.0 | 145.2 |
| 2002 | 35 | 347.7 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 67.2 | 1.9 | 146.6 | 0.1 | 356.4 | 0.0 | 967.1 | 411.3 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 339.7 | 25.9 | 66.5 |
| 2003 | 27 | 801.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 8.7 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 11.9 | 3.4 | 415.4 | 0.1 | 158.4 | 0.0 | 1，292．0 | 510.4 | 40.9 | 12.2 | 456.8 | 37.0 | 2，319．7 |
| 2004 | 36 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 210.0 | 0.0 | 369.1 | 0.1 | 210.0 | 444.0 | 28.1 | 39.5 | 282.0 | 18.6 | 485.0 |
| 2005 | 27 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 21.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 131.5 | 0.0 | 278.3 | 0.0 | 271.3 | 187.3 | 37.0 | 21.3 | 252.9 | 25.1 | 286.7 |
| 2006 | 27 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 14.2 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 30.6 | 0.1 | 313.8 | 304.1 | 14.0 | 2.0 | 491.0 | 8.5 | 96.4 |
| 2007 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 10.6 | 16.7 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 65.5 | 0.0 | 151.3 | 0.0 | 311.0 | 425.8 | 17.9 | 6.5 | 851.4 | 9.0 | 121.4 |
| 2008 | 37 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 34.3 | 9.5 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 26.3 | 0.1 | 523.4 | 0.1 | 165.6 | 268.8 | 11.8 | 7.3 | 646.0 | 4.1 | 226.7 |
| 2009 | 24 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 50.5 | 0.0 | 297.0 | 0.1 | 91.8 | 263.0 | 68.7 | 14.1 | 73.9 | 7.5 | 391.2 |
| 2010 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 132.6 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 209.1 | 0.0 | 86.6 | 297.0 | 36.1 | 12.3 | 452.5 | 5.3 | 240.1 |
| 2011 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 10.6 | 19.3 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 375.7 | 0.9 | 500.6 | 0.1 | 123.5 | 0.1 | 228.3 | 383.9 | 35.0 | 13.8 | 238.6 | 9.6 | 202.3 |
| 2012 | 24 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 82.0 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 962.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 0.0 | 99.8 | 542.8 | 19.6 | 8.8 | 223.8 | 4.1 | 143.0 |
| 2013 | 24 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 16.4 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 661.8 | 0.7 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 130.3 | 429.3 | 30.9 | 15.4 | 51.2 | 3.6 | 164.9 |
| 2014 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 39.0 | 12.8 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 43.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 165.2 | 0.1 | 11.2 | 97.0 | 32.8 | 4.4 | 54.3 | 4.7 | 73.4 |
| 2015 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 411.2 | 0.2 | 89.8 | 0.0 | 436.7 | 0.1 | 12.7 | 122.0 | 30.0 | 10.1 | 142.8 | 4.9 | 197.0 |
| 2016 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 430.0 | 0.4 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 123.2 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 554.3 | 11.3 | 4.8 | 350.4 | 3.6 | 149.7 |
| 2017 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 8.9 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 403.5 | 0.5 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 108.7 | 0.2 | 26.8 | 329.1 | 31.5 | 5.5 | 35.8 | 3.6 | 187.9 |

APPENDIX C. Length-weight regression equations and von Bertalanffy growth equations for Walleye and Yellow Perch. Natural log lengthweight equations are based on data pooled for both sexes combined, from the fall gill-net collections in Saginaw Bay, 2012-2017. Weight (wt) is in grams, and length (len) is in mm. Von Bertalanffy equations are based on pooled length-at-age data from the 2012-2017 fall gill-net collections where ' $t$ ' is age in years.

| Species | Sex | Length/Weight Equation | Len/Wt R ${ }^{2}$ | Von Bertalanffy Equation | K | $\mathrm{L}_{\infty} \infty$ | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye | Males | $\ln (\mathrm{wt})=3.096 \ln ($ len $)-12.242$ | 0.96 | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{t}}=506\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.3901(t+1.07)}\right]$ | 0.3901 | 506 | -1.07 |
|  | Females | $\ln (\mathrm{wt})=3.082 \ln ($ len $)-12.176$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{t}}=621\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.2548(t+1.35)}\right]$ | 0.2548 | 621 | -1.35 |
|  | Combined | $\ln (\mathrm{wt})=3.085 \ln ($ len $)-12.188$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{t}}=537\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.3546(t+1.06)}\right]$ | 0.3546 | 537 | -1.06 |
| Yellow Perch | Males | $\ln (\mathrm{wt})=3.075 \ln (1 \mathrm{en})-11.642$ | 0.93 | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{t}}=399\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.2085(t+1.28)}\right]$ | 0.2085 | 399 | -1.28 |
|  | Females | $\ln (\mathrm{wt})=3.165 \ln (1 \mathrm{en})-12.140$ | 0.95 | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{t}}=392\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.2633(t+0.95)}\right]$ | 0.2633 | 392 | -0.95 |
|  | Combined | $\ln (\mathrm{wt})=3.116 \ln (1 \mathrm{en})-11.875$ | 0.94 | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{t}}=385\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.2576(t+0.99)}\right]$ | 0.2576 | 385 | -0.99 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Retired

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Post-Alewife crash

