<u>_</u>			a anta anta da fara da anta	Sec. March		<u>)</u>
					•	
					FILED	•
=or corners in LAND CORNER RECORDATION CERTIFICATE Filing Requirement of Act 74, Mich. P.A. 1970 AUG 16   14 PM '94						
_Livings		Located In:	Corner Code ≠	1	RANCY HAVE THE	
	(County)		0.70		LIVINGSTON COUNTY MT	
∃. Public l	and Survey.	T <u>4</u> R <u>6</u> T <u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	48843 .S.C.# 223m	
D 0	Os staslije s	T R S T R				
in Secti		S T R				
3. Miscella Property	neous / in Sec.	S T R S T R		Register	of Deeds Stamp & File Number	er
4. Lot No.		corded Plat		A	всреғдніји	(LM
5. Private	Claims					
		Augo	est 2,	3 4		
Edward E. Anderson in a field survey on <u>April 5</u> , 19 94 to hereby certify that under requirements of P.A. 74, Michigan P.A. of 1970, the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were in conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land						
©ourt of Lav ∋xpressed be	• •	-established, monumented, re-n	nonumented, recovered, to	und as 10	-30-292827-26	
NOTE: Not more than 4 corners, all in the same town and range, may be recorded on this certificate.				12	-31	
A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration: SURVEY &						
G.L.O. NOTES Dated April 5, 1823 REMONUMENTATION						
G.L.U.		W. Oak 20" South 5° 4 W. Oak 8" S65°W 30 L		SEP 2 6 199	}4	
Deputy Surveyor Sylvester Sibley 1823-1825 COMMISSION						
ADDITIO	NAL HISTORIC	AL DATA ON BACK OF SH	EET			
B. Description of corner evidence found and/or method applied in restoring or reestablishing corner:						
Found 1/2" rerod. Fit witnesses by Boss Engineering, Richard Smith P.S., C.H.M.P. Engineering, Tri County Engineering, and Cornerstone Engineering.						
		,				
PA. 345 1990, APPROVED BY LIVINGSTON COUNTY						
6	PEER GROUP ()	N <u>Verac 6.2, 7 7.</u> CO. REP //	<u>IIII K.</u>			
ļ						<u>~</u> `
C. Descrip	ion of monument	for comer and accessories e	stablished to perpetuate	locating the pos	tion of the corner:	
G-12 W 1/4 CORNER SECTION 34 T4N-R6E Set 1 1/4" x 36" Breakaway Iron Pipe with Brass Cap (Livingston County Monument Corner) 4' west of centerline Hartland Road N60°E 46.00' Spike W/S 16" Oak						
				JNE 15, 1994	-	
	90	PR	ANDERSON	<u>Aúgust 4, 1</u>	994	
Signed by Surveyor's M	lichigan License	No. #11495	NO. 53	-		. 28, 1971
SURVEYOR'S MICHIGAN LICENSE NO						

## SUMMARY

G-12 WEST 1/4 CORNER SECTION 34, T4N-R6E, TYRONE TOWNSHIP, LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN.

Found 1/2" Rerod 4' West of the centerline of Hartland Road (gravel)

Checked and measured to witnesses we found. Found all of Boss, Richard Smith, C.H.M.P., and Tri-County witnesses. They were all the same and they checked, Pages 12, 17, 21, and 22, this dossier.

Found all of Cornerstone Engineering witnesses and they checked, Page 18, -this dossier.

Looked for Consumer Power Co. witnesses and found none, Page 23, this dossier.

Distance from the N.W. corner to the West 1/4 corner of said Section 34 is 2679.61' Boss, Tri-County, and Daryl Hughes surveys, Pages 7 thru 13, this dossier; and 2680.10' C.H.M.P. survey, Page 14, this dossier; and 2680.30' Garlock Survey, Page 15, this dossier, and Page 25, this dossier.

Distance from S.W. corner to the West 1/4 corner of said Section 34 is 2675.46' Alpha Engineering field notes, Page 24, this dossier.

Made a clean sweep of immediate area and found no additional corners or irons.

Old wire fence to the West and Gravel Road North and South; fairly flat North and South.

Terrain is open to the West and wooded to the East. House to the N.W.

Came to the conclusion that the 1/2" rerod we found is the correct West 1/4 corner of said Section 34.

G-12 SUMMARY (con't)

NOTE: Returned to the field on 6/7/94, after receiving additional information from Charles Garlock, Pages 19 and 25, this dossier. Found some of his witnesses, being the T Iron to the West, the 1/2" Pipe to the East and the 14" Hickory and the 10" R. Oak. The cornerpost to the East was knocked over, and the corner post to the west was gone and replaced by a different post in a different location. When checking from the T Iron and the 1/2" Pipe to the 1/2" Rerod that we found and accepted as the West 1/4 Corner, our distances were 31.50' from the T Iron, and 32.35' from the 1/2" Pipe. The total of these two distances = 63.85'. The total of Garlocks distances between the T Iron and the 1/2" Pipe = 64.75'.

When we set a point, using Garlocks distance from a nail in the 14" Hickory and a nail in the 10" R. Oak, we found this point to be 1.3' East of the 1/2" Rerod we accepted as the West 1/4 Corner. Garlock calls it 1.25' East to a Boat Spike he found. It appears that the distance Garlock measured from the 1/2" Pipe is in error, since the total of his distance between the 1/2" Pipe and the T Iron disagrees with our total measured distance by 0.9'. The distance we measured from the T Iron to the 1/2" Rerod that we accepted as the West 1/4 Corner = 31.50'. If we add the 1.3' to this it equals 32.80' Garlock measured from the T Iron to the corner he used as 33'. We found a Hickory that Garlock called for that could have been a 5" Hickory at the time, but found no nail in it and the distance measures long to this Hickory by about 0.6' or 0.7' from Garlocks distance.

Found no evidence of another tree existing at this location so I am assuming that the distance to this tree in Garlocks notes is incorrect. Since we found the distance from nails in the other two trees and the T Iron to very closely intersect with the distances Garlock calls for from these witnesses, it appears that the 1/2" Rerod that we accepted as the West 1/4 Corner is in very near the same location as the 1/2" Boat Spike 12" long that Garlock found. Garlock used the most Easterly Boat Spike as the West 1/4 Corner for the work that he was doing. This work was done on 9/17/68, but was not dodifor the purposes of a Boundary Survey. Everyone else since that time has used the 1/2" Rerod that we found and accepted as the West 1/4 Corner. Garlock determined that the Boat Spike he used was correct because it fell 1/2 way between the old fence corners he found at the time, and are normalitant at the resent time. Therefore, I feel that we should used the 1 nod that we is a the context location of the work 1/4 Corner.