

being Sub. of that part of the
COOK HARM P.C. 25th 180

25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545

1. The first of these is the fact that the
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7.
 8.
 9.
 10.
 11.
 12.
 13.
 14.
 15.
 16.
 17.
 18.
 19.
 20.
 21.
 22.
 23.
 24.
 25.
 26.
 27.
 28.
 29.
 30.
 31.
 32.
 33.
 34.
 35.
 36.
 37.
 38.
 39.
 40.
 41.
 42.
 43.
 44.
 45.
 46.
 47.
 48.
 49.
 50.
 51.
 52.
 53.
 54.
 55.
 56.
 57.
 58.
 59.
 60.
 61.
 62.
 63.
 64.
 65.
 66.
 67.
 68.
 69.
 70.
 71.
 72.
 73.
 74.
 75.
 76.
 77.
 78.
 79.
 80.
 81.
 82.
 83.
 84.
 85.
 86.
 87.
 88.
 89.
 90.
 91.
 92.
 93.
 94.
 95.
 96.
 97.
 98.
 99.
 100.
 101.
 102.
 103.
 104.
 105.
 106.
 107.
 108.
 109.
 110.
 111.
 112.
 113.
 114.
 115.
 116.
 117.
 118.
 119.
 120.
 121.
 122.
 123.
 124.
 125.
 126.
 127.
 128.
 129.
 130.
 131.
 132.
 133.
 134.
 135.
 136.
 137.
 138.
 139.
 140.
 141.
 142.
 143.
 144.
 145.
 146.
 147.
 148.
 149.
 150.
 151.
 152.
 153.
 154.
 155.
 156.
 157.
 158.
 159.
 160.
 161.
 162.
 163.
 164.
 165.
 166.
 167.
 168.
 169.
 170.
 171.
 172.
 173.
 174.
 175.
 176.
 177.
 178.
 179.
 180.
 181.
 182.
 183.
 184.
 185.
 186.
 187.
 188.
 189.
 190.
 191.
 192.
 193.
 194.
 195.
 196.
 197.
 198.
 199.
 200.
 201.
 202.
 203.
 204.
 205.
 206.
 207.
 208.
 209.
 210.
 211.
 212.
 213.
 214.
 215.
 216.
 217.
 218.
 219.
 220.
 221.
 222.
 223.
 224.
 225.
 226.
 227.
 228.
 229.
 230.
 231.
 232.
 233.
 234.
 235.
 236.
 237.
 238.
 239.
 240.
 241.
 242.
 243.
 244.
 245.
 246.
 247.
 248.
 249.
 250.
 251.
 252.
 253.
 254.
 255.
 256.
 257.
 258.
 259.
 260.
 261.
 262.
 263.
 264.
 265.
 266.
 267.
 268.
 269.
 270.
 271.
 272.
 273.
 274.
 275.
 276.
 277.
 278.
 279.
 280.
 281.
 282.
 283.
 284.
 285.
 286.
 287.
 288.
 289.
 290.
 291.
 292.
 293.
 294.
 295.
 296.
 297.
 298.
 299.
 300.
 301.
 302.
 303.
 304.
 305.
 306.
 307.
 308.
 309.
 310.
 311.
 312.
 313.
 314.
 315.
 316.
 317.
 318.
 319.
 320.
 321.
 322.
 323.
 324.
 325.
 326.
 327.
 328.
 329.
 330.
 331.
 332.
 333.
 334.
 335.
 336.
 337.
 338.
 339.
 340.
 341.
 342.
 343.
 344.
 345.
 346.
 347.
 348.
 349.
 350.
 351.
 352.
 353.
 354.
 355.
 356.
 357.
 358.
 359.
 360.
 361.
 362.
 363.
 364.
 365.
 366.
 367.
 368.
 369.
 370.
 371.
 372.
 373.
 374.
 375.
 376.
 377.
 378.
 379.
 380.
 381.
 382.
 383.
 384.
 385.
 386.
 387.
 388.
 389.
 390.
 391.
 392.
 393.
 394.
 395.
 396.
 397.
 398.
 399.
 400.
 401.
 402.
 403.
 404.
 405.
 406.
 407.
 408.
 409.
 410.
 411.
 412.
 413.
 414.
 415.
 416.
 417.
 418.
 419.
 420.
 421.
 422.
 423.
 424.
 425.
 426.
 427.
 428.
 429.
 430.
 431.
 432.
 433.
 434.
 435.
 436.
 437.
 438.
 439.
 440.
 441.
 442.
 443.
 444.
 445.
 446.
 447.
 448.
 449.
 450.
 451.
 452.
 453.
 454.
 455.
 456.
 457.
 458.
 459.
 460.
 461.
 462.
 463.
 464.
 465.
 466.
 467.
 468.
 469.
 470.
 471.
 472.
 473.
 474.
 475.
 476.
 477.
 478.
 479.
 480.
 481.
 482.
 483.
 484.
 485.
 486.
 487.
 488.
 489.
 490.
 491.
 492.
 493.
 494.
 495.
 496.
 497.
 498.
 499.
 500.
 501.
 502.
 503.
 504.
 505.
 506.
 507.
 508.
 509.
 510.
 511.
 512.
 513.
 514.
 515.
 516.
 517.
 518.
 519.
 520.
 521.
 522.
 523.
 524.
 525.
 526.
 527.
 528.
 529.
 530.
 531.
 532.
 533.
 534.
 535.
 536.
 537.
 538.
 539.
 540.
 541.
 542.
 543.
 544.
 545.
 546.
 547.
 548.
 549.
 550.
 551.
 552.
 553.
 554.
 555.
 556.
 557.
 558.
 559.
 560.
 561.
 562.
 563.
 564.
 565.
 566.
 567.
 568.
 569.
 570.
 571.
 572.
 573.
 574.
 575.
 576.
 577.
 578.
 579.
 580.
 581.
 582.
 583.
 584.
 585.
 586.
 587.
 588.
 589.
 590.
 591.
 592.
 593.
 594.
 595.
 596.
 597.
 598.
 599.

Edmund (see Chairman)

Received of
the
The Philadelphia

There is a...

James M. Buchanan
in answer to Maria Hamilton's letter
of the 26th of December 1870.
at Bristol on January 11th 1871.

Place de la Madeleine. 11111.

• The end of the world is near

Johnston, Dec 22, 1861.

The 1st & 2nd bottles of X. were
 with 100 gr. of 3.5. solution. The 3rd & 4th

1

19

743. *innotata* n. sp. 1893.
 Length 1.5 mm. Body black, of
 slender form. 1893.

...

to be ready, in order to be able of "checking" as to the
 record in the balance of "checking" as to the

ed wilderness and achieved

the conditions of the

[illegible]

By Council Member Eberhard:
Whereas, Indian Village was designated a Historic District by Resolution of the City Council effective June 20, 1971 (J.C.C. p. 1374-75);
Whereas, The boundaries of the Indian Village Historic District (hereinafter District) are Burns, Seminole, and Iroquois (both sides) from Mack Centerline to E. Jefferson Centerline;
Whereas, All subdivisions within the above-mentioned boundaries are within the District;
Whereas, The resolution designating Indian Village a historic district did not describe all of the subdivisions within the boundaries;
Whereas, The property description should be amended to describe all of the subdivisions within the District boundaries, and to further clarify the property description provided; therefore be it
Resolved, That the existing resolution (J.C.C. p. 1374-75) should be amended to delete the existing property description of the subdivisions in Indian Village as follows:

Property described as the Park Subdivision Lots 1-105, the addition to Park Subdivision Lots 106-201, the Assessor's Plat of P.C.s 27 and 180 lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, L. 129 P84, and Assessor's Plat of P.C.s 27 and 180 lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, L. 129 P84, and be it further
Resolved, That the property description in the Indian Village Historic District Resolution (J.C.C. p. 1374-75) should be amended to substitute the following language:

Property described as the Park Subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180 lying between Jefferson and St. Paul Avenues, L. 129 P84.

Addition to Park Sub being Block 4 and the East 1/4 of Block 3 of the Subdivision of the Cook Farm between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. L25 P84.

Assessor's Plat of Blocks 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12 and the West 1/4 of 3 of the Addition to Park Sub being the subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180 lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, L. 66 P68.

Assessor's Plat of Blocks 13, 16, 18, 19, 20, the East 1/4 of 14 and the West 1/4 of 15 of the Additions to Park Sub, being the subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180 lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, L. 66 P68.

A. M. Henry's Subdivision of Block 9 of the Cook Farm Subdivision of Part of P.C.s 27, 133, 135 & 180, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. L27 P65.

Meredith Iroquois Park Subdivision of the West 1/4 of Block 14 and the East 1/4 of Block 15 of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180, lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, L. 33 P47.

Curry's Cook Farm Subdivision of Block 17 of the Addition to the Park Sub being a subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180 between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. L29 P10; and be it further

Resolved, That the City Clerk be directed to register the property description of the Indian Village area as indicated above with the Wayne County Register of Deeds.

Approved:
SYL DELANEY
Deputy Corp. Counsel
Adopted as follows:
Yeas - Council Members Cleveland, Cockrel, Eberhard, Hood, Kelley, Mahaffey, McFadden, and President Henderson - 8
Nays - None.

STATE OF MICHIGAN) SS.
CITY OF DETROIT)

SHORT FORM - TRUE COPY CERTIFICATE

I, JAMES H. BRADLEY, CITY CLERK of the City of Detroit, do hereby certify that the annexed paper is a true copy of a Resolution

adopted by the City Council on July 22, 1981
and approved by the Mayor on July 31, 1981

as appears from the Journal of said City Council, on file in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Corporate Seal of said City, at Detroit, on

August 12, 1981

James H. Bradley
City Clerk
JAMES H. BRADLEY

① 11098

② 1514

③ 1126

11113

①

②

③

Rec'd 8-13-81

Li 8/21/4

Pa 806

designations in effect in effect of this chapter, design treatment levels and defined elements of design unless amended, shall continue in effect and shall be administered according to the appropriate sections of this chapter. These districts and landmarks are:

(a) West Canfield District (1970 JCC 1672-73)

(b) Orchestra Hall (1970 JCC 2627)

(c) Indian Village District (JCC 1374-75); the defined elements of design for this district shall be as follows:

(1) Height. Virtually all of the houses in the district have two full stories plus attic or finished third floor within the roof; these are generally called "two-and-a-half" story houses. Additions to existing buildings shall be related to the existing structure; new buildings shall meet the following standards:

(2) The eight adjoining houses on the same face, excluding any houses built since 1930, churches, schools and commercial structures, shall be used to determine an average height. If eight houses are not available on the same block face, then one or more houses as close as possible to being directly across the street from the proposed structure may be used. On Jefferson Avenue, the five existing houses shall be used. The height of the two adjoining houses shall be added into the total twice, with a divisor of ten (seven on Jefferson Avenue) used

to determine the average. Any new building must have a height of the main roof of at least eighty percent (80%) of the resulting average; in no case shall a new building be taller than the tallest roof height included in the computation. In determining the height of existing structures and proposed structures, the highest point of the main roof shall be used, even where towers, cupolas, or other minor elements may be higher.

(3) The level of the eaves of a proposed new structure having as much or more significance for compatibility as the room height, an average eave or cornice height shall be determined by the same process as that described above. The proposed new structure shall have a height at the eaves, or cornice, of not less than ninety percent (90%) of the average determined from existing structures, and in no case shall the eaves or cornice of the proposed structure be lower than the lowest eave or cornice height used in the computation, nor higher than the highest.

(4) Proportion of buildings' front facades. Proportion varies in the district, depending on age, style, and location in a specific subdivision. Height being established by the standards above, proportion will be established by permitting no proposed building or addition to create a front facade wider or narrower than those existing on the same block.

(5) Proportion of openings within the facade. Window openings are virtually always taller than wide; several windows are sometimes grouped into a combination wider than tall. Window openings are always subdivided; the most common window type being gullotine sash, whose area are generally further subdivided by muntins. Facades have approximately fifteen percent (15%) to thirty-five (35%) of their area glazed. Sunporches with a very high proportion of glass subdivided by mullions and muntins are common.

(6) Rhythm of solids to voids in front facades. In buildings derived from classical precedents, voids are usually arranged in a symmetrical and evenly-spaced manner within the facade. In examples of other styles, especially those of neo-tudor and victorian substyles, voids are arranged with more freedom, but usually in a balanced composition.

(7) Rhythm of spacing of buildings on streets. The spacing of the buildings is generally determined by the setback from the side lot line; these tend to be consistent, even though lot width may vary. Because of the existence of several subdivisions and their related subdivision and deed restrictions, the placement of buildings on lots varies from area to area in the district. In the case of very wide properties, two conditions exist. A very wide site may have a house placed centrally upon it, with extensive side yard space; this occurs only with extremely large houses by district standards. A more typical placement of houses of average size for the district is at the side of the wide site, placed normally in relation to one of the adjoining houses. The rest of the property is a side yard on the other side of the house, and the entrance is often oriented toward that side yard.

(8) Rhythm of entrance and/or porch projections. In those examples of classical inspiration, entrances and porches, if any, tend to be centered on the front facade. Other examples display more freedom with entrance and porch placement, with some having the main entrance at the side. Porches, often permanently enclosed sun porches, are often placed at the side of the building.

(9) Relationship of materials. The majority of the buildings are faced with brick, while many are partially or totally stucco. There are some stone buildings; clapboard is rare, and almost never the sole material. Wood shingle is occasionally used as a wall covering, usually at the second floor level, and never as the sole material. Roofing includes slate, tile, and wooden and asphalt shingles. Stone trim is common. Wood is almost universally used for window frames and other functional trim, and is used in many examples for all trim. Because of the existence of several subdivisions and their related deed restrictions, the exterior texture and materials may vary from block to block in the district.

(10) Relationship of textures. The most common relationship of textures in the district is that of the low-relief pattern of mortar joints in brick contrasted to the smooth surface of wood or stone trim. The use of stucco or concrete, with or without half-timbering, as a contrast to brick surfaces is not unusual. Tile, slate, or wood shingle roofs have particular textural values where they exist. Asphalt shingles, generally, have little textural interest, even in those types which purport to imitate some other variety.

(11) Relationship of colors. Natural brick colors (red, yellow, brown, buff) predominate in wall surfaces. Natural stone colors also exist. Where stucco or concrete exists, it is usually left in its natural state, or painted in a shade of cream. Roofs are in natural colors (tile and slate colors, wood colors) and asphalt shingles are predominantly within this same dark color range. Paint colors often relate to style. The classically inspired buildings, particularly neo-georgian, generally have woodwork painted white, cream or in the range of those colors, including "putty." Doors and shutters are frequently dark green or black. Colors known to have been in use on buildings of this type in the eighteenth or early nineteenth centuries on similar buildings may be considered for suitability. Buildings of medieval inspiration (notably neo-tudor) generally have painted woodwork and window frames of dark brown or cream color. Half-timbering is almost always stained dark brown. Queen Anne or late victorian examples may have several paint colors on a single facade. These tend to be dark in tone and frequently of the "earth tone" family. The original colors of any house, as determined by professional analysis, are always acceptable for that house, and may provide suggestions for similar houses.

(12) Relationship of architectural details. These generally relate to style. Neo-georgian buildings display classic details, mostly in wood, and sometime in stone. Areas commonly, but not always, treated are porches, shutters, window frames, cornices, and dormer windows. Details on "mediterranean" style or vernacular buildings are often done in stone, brick, tile, and sometimes in stucco. They include arched windows, door openings, and porches. Buildings of medieval inspiration tend to have details in the form of carved wood or carved stone ornament on window frames, door frames, and eaves. Queen Anne or late victorian style buildings tend to have details in wood, stone, or molded brick commonly embellishing cornices, window

framing and door frames. In general, the various styles are rich in architectural details.

(13) Relationship of roof shapes. Roofs with triangular gables and hip roofs predominate. A few examples of the gambrel type roof exist. Complex arrangements of the gabled and/or hip types, with subsidiary roofs, are not unusual. Dormers are common. Flat roofs exist primarily on porches and sunrooms, and other minor elements; large hip roofs sometimes have relatively small flat sections in the center.

(14) Walls of continuity. The major wall of continuity is created by the buildings, with their uniform setbacks within the blocks. New buildings should contribute to this wall of continuity. Where gaslights are sufficiently numerous, and where trees in rows have survived in sufficient numbers, minor walls of continuity are created. Fences across side lots contribute to the major wall of continuity where placed at the front yard setback line.

(15) Relationship of significant landscape features and surface treatment. The typical treatment of individual properties is a flat front lawn area in grass turf, often subdivided by a walk leading to the front entrance, and sometimes with a walk at the side leading to the rear. Materials for such walks are concrete, brick, or stone, or combinations of those materials. Some front yards have rectangular raised earthwork terraces upon which the house stands. These unpaved terraces have sloping embankments or brick and/or stone retaining walls at the change of grade. Foundation plantings, often of a deciduous character, characteristic of the period 1885-1930, are present virtually without exception. Hedges between properties, and ornamental front yard fences or hedges are not uncommon. The american elm is virtually extinct in the district, though once the dominant tree. Replacement trees should be characteristic of the area and period. Though only a disease-resistant american elm would be a practical choice. Plantings of new trees should be directed toward the restoration of the former straight-line rows of large trees on the front yards and "tree lawns." Straight side driveways leading from the street to rear garages exist, but alley-facing garages

are common, particularly in the southern portion of the district. Where alley-facing garages are common, the lack of driveways lends a unity to the succession of front lawns. Driveway materials include concrete, brick and gravel. Side lots are not uncommon in the district, and a number of these form a part of the original site plan for the residence. Such side lots are usually landscaped, often fenced at or near the setback line, and very occasionally contain paved areas such as a tennis court. The street right-of-way of eighty feet combined with a pavement width of between twenty-four and twenty-nine feet creates wide "tree lawns" or berm areas, which adds to the generous ambience of the urban landscape of the district. Street pavements are now asphalt; cut stone curbs still exist in portions of the district. Alleys are frequently paved with brick, particularly where alley-facing garages are common. Fencing ranges widely in type, fencing in public view was generally designed to complement the style, design material, and date of the residence.

(16) Relationship of open space to structures. Open space in the district occurs in the form of vacant land, a city park, school yards for the Waldorf and Nichols Schools, and side lots. Where an original or early arrangement of a house and grounds included and still includes landscaped lots which form part of the landscaping plan for the residence, such landscaped lots are significant landscape features.

(17) Scale of facades and facade elements. There is a variety in scale from block to block and style to style; most houses have a large and substantial appearance. The size and complexity of facade elements and details either accentuate or subdue the scale of the facades. Facade elements have been determined by what is appropriate for the style. Large wings at the front are atypical, while small wings at the side, especially in the case of a landscaped side lot or corner house. The street facade in these cases is well coordinated with the rest of the street facades. Garages are frequently oriented either toward an alley or a

division and deed restrictions, setbacks vary from area to area within the district, though they are consistent within each block or area. The varying designs of the houses, occasionally with slight setbacks in the facades, cause the houses to relate to the front setback line in different ways; this creates a slight variation in the setback line. Nevertheless, within each block or area a wall of continuity is created.

(18) Relationship of lot coverage. Lot coverage ranges from fifty percent (50%) to twelve percent (12%) or less in the case of homes with large yards. Most homes are in the twenty percent (20%) to thirty percent (30%) range of lot coverage.

(19) Degree of complexity within the facade. The degree of complexity has been determined by what is typical and appropriate for a given style. The classically inspired buildings usually have simple, rectangular facades with varying amounts of ornamentation. Other styles, such as "Queen Anne" and those of medieval inspiration, frequently have facades complicated by gables, bays, slight setbacks, porches, and occasionally turrets.

(20) Orientation, vistas, overviews. While most of the buildings are oriented toward the street, it is not unusual for an entrance to face the side, especially in the case of a landscaped side lot or corner house. The street facade in these cases is well coordinated with the rest of the street facades. Garages are frequently oriented either toward an alley or a

side street; almost all garages are detached and at the rear of the lot. In those few cases where pre-1930 houses have attached garages, they are at the rear and are entered from the side or rear. The doors of such attached garages are generally not visible from the street.

(21) Symmetric or asymmetric appearance. Neo-georgian and other classically inspired buildings are generally symmetrical. Other styles, including the neo-tudor, are generally asymmetrical, but balanced compositions.

(22) General environmental character. The Indian Village district, with its long, straight streets, its hierarchy of walls of continuity (lamps, trees, buildings) and its large, dignified homes, has an urban, substantial, low density residential character.

(d) St. Joseph R. C. Church (1971 JCC 2577-78)

(e) Detroit Cornice and Slate Building (1971 JCC 1862)

(f) Boston-Edison District (1974 JCC 722-23)

(g) Soldiers and Sailors Monument (1974 JCC 1824-25)

(h) Bagley Memorial Fountain (1974 JCC 1825-26)

Recd 2-19-81
Li 21077
Pa 915

① 11098
② 10873
③ 1126
④ 11113
⑤ 1600
⑥ 2197
⑦ 1742

STATE OF MICHIGAN) SS.
CITY OF DETROIT)

SHORT FORM - TRUE COPY CERTIFICATE

I, JAMES H. BRADLEY, CITY CLERK of the City of Detroit, do hereby certify that the annexed paper is a true copy of a Ordinance adopted by the City Council on January 21, 1981 and approved by the Mayor on February 3, 1981 as appears from the Journal of said City Council, on file in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Corporate Seal of said City, at Detroit, on

February 13, 1981

James H. Bradley
City Clerk
James H. Bradley


The legal description of the property within the Indian Village Historic District is as follows:

All lots in the following subdivisions:

- ① Park Subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180, lying between Jefferson and St. Paul Avenues. L19, P59
- ② Addition to Park Sub being Block 4 and the East 1/2 of Block 3 of the Subdivision of the Cook Farm between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. L25 P84.
- ③ Assessor's Plat of Blocks 1,2,5,6,7,8,10,11,12, and the West 1/2 of 3 of the Addition to Park Sub being the subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180, lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues. L66 P55.
- ④ Assessor's Plat of Blocks 13,16,18,19,20, the East 1/2 of 14 and the West 1/2 of 15 of the Addition to Park Sub, being the subdivision of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180 lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues. L66 P56.
- ⑤ A.M. Henry's Subdivision of Block 9 of the Cook Farm Subdivision of Part of P.C.s 27, 153, 155 & 180, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. L27 P65
- ⑥ Meredith Iroquois Park Subdivision of the West 1/2 of Block 14 and the East 1/2 of Block 15 of that part of the Cook Farm, P.C.s 27 & 180, lying between St. Paul and Mack Avenues. L
- ⑦ Curry's Cook Farm Subdivision of Block 17 of the Addition to the Park Sub being a subdivision of part of P.C.s 27 & 180 between St. Paul and Mack Avenues, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. L29 P10.

FORWARD TO STATE
TREASURER

BEARD AVE.



REQUESTED CONVERSION TO EASEMENT OF THE ALLEYS N. OF FORT ST. BETWEEN GREEN AVE. AND BEARD AVE.

Job No. 51-01
Dwg. No. 1-5066
Date 6-10-51

JAMES W. WATTS
Director
Council Member Ravitz:
Resolved, That All That Parcel
shapel Avenue, 50 feet wide, lying
een and abutting the north line
erne Avenue and the south line
est McNichols Road as platted in
erry Subdivision of part of the n
acres of the east 30 acres of the
on 15, T.1 S., R.10 E., Redford Vill
ayne County, Michigan, as recor
iber 41, Page 79, Plats. Wa
ounty records,

Department hereby is granted a temporary easement for above described property until such time as construction is completed on the new underground system to serve petitioner's property, and be it further

Resolved, That all that part of the north-south public alley, 15 feet wide, in the Township of Buzena, Chappel, Verne and West Michigan, abutting the rear line of Lots 96 to 120, the entire width of alley having been platted in Louis A. Miller's Subdivision in Redford Village in the N.W. 1/4 of Section 15 in T.15S., R.10E., Wayne County, Michigan as recorded in Liber 28, Page 34, Plats, Wayne County records.

Department of Public Works
City Engineering Division
March 11, 1982

Honorable City Council:
Re: Petition No. 4689, McDonald
Ford-East (Bob Maxey Lincoln
Mercury) Conversion to Easements
of the East-West Alleys in the
Blocks bounded by Aldridge, Har-
vard, Mack and Rosewood A-
venues.

The above petition requests the con-
version of the above described public
alleys, 18 and 20 feet wide, into eas-
ements for public utilities. The re-
quested conversion into easements for

part of Lot 7 lying north of Mack Avenue and the eastern portion of the subdivision of the S.W. 1/4 of P.C. 504; also parts lying between Mack Avenue and Warren Avenue of the subdivisions of Lots 4 and 5 of the subdivision of the Front and Rear Concession of P.C. 585, also part of the east 27.14 feet of said Lot 4 lying north of Warren Avenue; also all in the City of Detroit, Michigan, as recorded in the Liber 10, Page 94, Plats, Wayne County Records.

Be and the same are hereby heretofore converted into public easements of the full width of the alleys, which easements shall

STATE OF MICHIGAN }
CITY OF DETROIT } SS.

SHORT FORM - TRUE COPY CERTIFICATE

NY CERTIFICATE

[illegible]

STATE OF MICHIGAN } SS
CITY OF DETROIT }

SHORT FORM - TRUE COPY CERTIFICATE

I, JAMES H. BRADLEY, CITY CLERK of the City of Detroit, do hereby
certify that the annexed paper is a true copy of a Resolution
adopted by the City Council on April 14, 1982
and approved by the Mayor on April 27, 1982
as appears from the Journal of said City Council on file in my office

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Corporate Seal of said City, at Detroit, on

May 28, 1982
James H. Bradley
City Clerk
JAMES H. BRADLEY

City Clerk
JAMES H. BRADLEY

May 28, 1982

City Clerk
JAMES H. BRADLEY